



Primary Care Workgroup

TARGET CONSIDERATIONS

DRAFT

JUNE 21, 2023

Voluntary Target or Required Increase



Most states seeking to increase primary care investment begin with a target. Over time, some require payors to spend a defined percentage of total medical expense on primary care.

VOLUNTARY TARGET

Advantages:

- Engages stakeholders in a collaborative process and inspires shared commitment
- Less likely to hasten overall cost growth because payors can adjust the pace of increased primary care spending

Drawbacks:

May result in slower progress in reaching primary care spending goals

REQUIRED INVESTMENT

Advantages:

 More likely to result in achieving primary care spending goals

Drawbacks:

More likely to increase costs



Single Target or Target for Each Payer Type

Another consideration is whether to provide a single target for all payor types (such as commercial, Medicaid) to work toward or whether to have different targets for each payer type.

SINGLE TARGET

Advantages:

Easier to communicate

Drawbacks:

 May not recognize differences across populations

TARGET FOR EACH PAYER TYPE

Advantages:

Recognizes differences in populations and covered services across payer types

Drawbacks:

- May be confusing to stakeholders
- May raise questions regarding the methodology and fairness of different targets



Absolute Improvement, Relative Improvement, or Both

Some states put forward a goal for absolute improvement or a specific defined level of primary care investment. Other states put forward a goal for relative improvement or incremental increases in primary care investment.

ABSOLUTE IMPROVEMENT

Advantages:

Sets a vision for the future

Drawbacks:

- Rarely met as quickly as hoped
- Less guidance on how to operationalize (how much spending should increase each year)

RELATIVE IMPROVEMENT

Advantages:

- Acknowledges that care delivery transformation takes time
- More predictable increases in revenue

Drawbacks:

May feel less inspiring than a single number

Combining them allows all to succeed at a reasonable pace and defines an eventual ceiling



Percent of Total Cost of Care or Per Member, Per Month Amount

Most states set goals for primary care investment and define the goal as a percent of total medical expense. Behind the scenes, its helpful to understand what the percentage translates to as a per member, per month amount.

PERCENT TOTAL MEDICAL EXPENSE

Advantages:

- Consistent with other state and national approaches
- Tries to communicate that increased spending on primary care should reallocate rather than increase total spending

Drawbacks:

 Does not recognize differences in total cost of care across states

PER MEMBER, PER MONTH AMOUNT

Advantages:

- Easier to reflect the cost of achieving primary care delivery goals, sustainably, and efficiently
- More consistent with how payers typically measure health care costs

Drawbacks:

May not resonate with stakeholder audiences unfamiliar with per member, per month calculations



