EXHIBIT 5



Quarterly Report

January 1, 2020 – March 31, 2020

Released: June 10, 2020

----- OPIOID OPERATIONAL COMMAND CENTER ---

CONTENTS

| MESSAGE FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR | 2 |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
| OPIOID-RELATED STATISTICS | 5 |
| COVID-19 CROSS-AGENCY ACTION PLAN | 11 |
| OPIOID INTERVENTION TEAMS UPDATE | 12 |
| OOCC GRANTS | 16 |



MESSAGE FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Thank you for your interest in the Opioid Operational Command Center's (OOCC) quarterly report for the first calendar quarter of 2020.

2020 has presented the country and our state with an increasingly complex set of public health challenges. The global coronavirus pandemic has upended nearly every aspect of our lives. It has challenged our ability to monitor public health and to provide all manner of health care services. In the process, the pandemic has complicated our ability to respond to the continuing opioid and substance use crisis, which remains one of the greatest public health challenges ever to face our state.

During the first quarter of 2020, intoxication-related deaths from all types of drugs and alcohol increased slightly in Maryland when compared to the first quarter of 2019. Opioid-related deaths increased 2.6 percent in the same period. While these figures are disappointing on their own, they are met with further indicators – including substantial increases in both cocaine-related and alcohol-related deaths – of a substance use crisis that has been worsened by societal upheaval.

Beyond the increases in fatality rates, other troubling signs have appeared. Opioid-related emergency department visits and EMS naloxone administrations were down substantially in the first quarter of 2020. Typically, these statistics would rise in correlation with fatalities, and their declines indicate disruptions in our broader response systems that may have lingering effects on people who use drugs. Additionally, it is still impossible to understand precisely when the pandemic first affected the substance use landscape and exactly what the earliest ramifications may have been.

What we can understand is the near certainty of an accelerated substance use crisis as we emerge from the coronavirus pandemic. We can also understand that now is the time to redouble our focus on solutions, both established and innovative. Everybody involved in addressing the opioid crisis – every clinician, every advocacy group, every concerned parent, and every citizen – needs to renew their dedication to addressing this problem.

The OOCC is working closely with partners across the state to tailor a response to a substance use crisis that has taken a new form. With the measures outlined in the plan, we hope to begin simultaneously stanching the immediate fallout from the pandemic and laying the groundwork for the months and years ahead, when the full effects of the pandemic on the substance use crisis are clearer.

The OOCC is here to help in the challenging period ahead, and we will focus on finding solutions together.

Steven R. Schuh

an Rochink

Executive Director Opioid Operational Command Center Office of the Governor



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

According to preliminary data from the Vital Statistics Administration (VSA) of the Maryland Department of Health (MDH), there were increases in unintentional intoxication fatalities related to nearly all major drug categories in Maryland in the first calendar quarter of 2020. During this time, there was a total of 626 reported intoxication deaths from all types of drugs and alcohol. This was an increase of 0.8 percent from the 621 intoxication deaths reported in the first three months of 2019. Opioids accounted for 89.6 percent of all such fatalities. Fentanyl, in particular, was involved in 83.5 percent of all cases.

There were 561 opioid-related deaths in the first quarter of 2020, a 2.6 percent increase from the first quarter of 2019. This is a disappointing, though slight, reversal of last year, when reported opioid-related fatalities decreased by 2.5 percent annually. Last year's decline was the first annual decrease in opioid-related fatalities since the onset of the opioid crisis over a decade ago.

Among opioid-related fatalities, fentanyl was involved in the vast majority of cases. There were 523 fentanyl-related deaths in the first quarter of 2020, representing 93.2 percent of all opioid-related fatalities. Fentanyl-related deaths increased by 4.4 percent from this time last year, compared to a 1.5 percent annual increase in 2019. Other opioid categories saw decreases during the same timeframe. There were 142 heroin-related deaths in the first quarter of 2020, a decline of 28.6 percent from the first quarter of 2019, and there were 95 prescription opioid-related deaths, a decrease of 2.1 percent.

Maryland saw significant increases in the number of fatalities related to other substances in the first quarter of 2020. There were 230 cocaine-related intoxication deaths, a 15.0 percent increase from this time last year. There were 136 alcohol-related intoxication deaths in the same timeframe, a 25.9 percent increase from the first quarter of 2019. Lastly, there were 31 benzodiazepine-related intoxication deaths and 20 methamphetamine-related intoxication deaths, representing a 72.2 percent increase and a 53.8 percent increase, respectively.

All 24 local jurisdictions in Maryland reported opioid-related intoxication fatalities in the first three months of 2020. Baltimore City (205 deaths), Baltimore County (80 deaths), and Anne Arundel County (52 deaths) reported the most deaths, collectively accounting for 60.1 percent of all opioid-related deaths in Maryland. More detail on regional opioid trends can be found on pages 9 and 10 of this report.

In contrast to the increasing number of reported opioid-related fatalities, emergency department (ED) visits for non-fatal opioid overdoses decreased during the first quarter of 2020. There were 1,261 reported opioid-related ED visits during this time, according to MDH. This was a 23.3 percent decrease for the first quarter of 2019, when there were 1,643 opioid-related emergency department visits for non-fatal opioid overdoses.

Similar to ED visits, the number of naloxone administrations by emergency medical services (EMS) personnel also decreased in the first calendar quarter of 2020. According to the Maryland Institute for Emergency Medical Services Systems (MIEMSS), in the first 15 weeks of 2020, there were 2,489 reported administrations, a decrease of 19.3 percent from the same timeframe in 2019, when there were 3,086 administrations.

This is the first time the Opioid Operational Command Center (OOCC) has included ED visits and naloxone administrations in our quarterly reports. There is an apparent contradiction between the



OPIOID OPERATIONAL COMMAND CENTER

declining numbers of reported non-fatal ED visits and naloxone administrations and the increasing opioid-related fatalities. The OOCC intends to coordinate with our state and local partners to identify any source of discrepancy in these statistics. They are nonetheless reported here to provide a more holistic picture of the current status of the opioid crisis in Maryland.

We do not know currently how the global outbreak of the novel coronavirus (commonly referred to as COVID-19) has impacted any of the statistics presented in this report or how it will continue to influence substance-use trends in the future. Many of the largest disruptions to everyday life in Maryland, such as mandated social distancing practices and travel restrictions, were not implemented until mid-to-late March, the end of the calendar quarter. For context, the Governor's stay-at-home order was not issued until March 30.

While the exact effects of the pandemic remain undetermined, general trends are now emerging. One of the most fundamental concerns is the availability of care for those struggling with substance use disorder (SUD). Increases in social isolation, disruptions to in-person treatment and counseling services, and the reconfiguration of daily routines could have profound impacts on those in crisis or recovery. We remain deeply concerned that the worst may be yet to come for those suffering from SUD. Of particular worry are disruptions to the supply of illicit narcotics, such as fentanyl. Any influx in the supply of fentanyl after an extended disruption due to border closures could lead to a sudden spike in overdoses. Additionally, any deep or sustained economic downturn has the potential to exacerbate despair among high-risk populations, potentially leading to new and worsening substance use.

In collaboration with the Maryland Department of Health, the OOCC is leading the development of the state's cross-agency action plan to respond to what we anticipate will be an increasingly challenging environment to combat the substance-use crisis amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. The action plan will supplement the Interagency Heroin and Opioid Coordinating Council's *Annual Coordination Plan* and aims to address the social determinants of health that can protect individuals from negative health outcomes, including problematic substance use. We are coordinating with our partners across state government agencies, and we expect the plan to be finalized and released in June 2020.

To help combat the opioid crisis, the OOCC consults regularly with the Opioid Intervention Team (OIT) in each of Maryland's 24 local jurisdictions. OITs are multiagency coordinating bodies that seek to enhance multidisciplinary collaboration to fight the opioid crisis at the local level. OITs are also responsible for administering OOCC Block Grant funding (detailed below) to support programs that advance Governor Larry Hogan's three policy priorities of *Prevention & Education, Enforcement & Public Safety*, and *Treatment & Recovery* as outlined in the *Interagency Opioid Coordination Plan* published in January, 2020. The OOCC tracks 129 high-priority programs and initiatives being implemented by OITs that are detailed beginning on page 12 of this report.

The OOCC administers two grant programs to fund statewide, local, and nongovernment organizations that help advance the Hogan Administration's policy priorities. Our Block Grant Program distributes \$4 million annually on a formula basis to each of Maryland's 24 local jurisdictions. Our Competitive Grant Program is designed to distribute funding to the highest-scoring proposals received from state and local governments and private, community-based partners. In Fiscal Year 2020, the OOCC distributed approximately \$6 million through this program. A summary of our grant programs and the current status of Block Grant and Competitive Grant awards can be found beginning on page 16 of this report.

Note: The fatalities data presented herein are preliminary and subject to change.



OPIOID-RELATED STATISTICS

The following section summarizes various opioid-related statistics in Maryland for the first calendar quarter (January through March) of 2020. The section includes information on the number of unintentional intoxication deaths related to opioids, alcohol, and various licit and illicit drugs according to data provided by the Vital Statistics Administration (VSA) of the Maryland Department of Health (MDH). This section also includes data on non-fatal opioid-related emergency department (ED) visits and naloxone administrations by emergency medical services (EMS) personnel.

Intoxication Deaths

Unintentional intoxication deaths are fatalities resulting from the recent ingestion of or exposure to alcohol and other types of drugs. The substances included in this report are heroin, fentanyl, prescription opioids, cocaine, benzodiazepines, and methamphetamine. Most fatalities involve more than one substance. Subsequently, the sum total of deaths related to specific substance categories in this report does not equal the total number of deaths reported in the quarter. Please note that the fatalities data for 2019 and 2020 are preliminary at the time of this writing.

There were a total of 626 unintentional intoxication deaths involving all types of drugs and alcohol in Maryland in the first calendar quarter of 2020. This was a 0.8 percent increase from the 621 intoxication deaths reported in the same period of 2019. Opioids accounted for 89.6 percent of all such fatalities, and fentanyl in particular was involved in 83.5 percent of all cases.

Opioid-Related Fatalities

As shown in Figure 1 below, there were 561 opioid-related deaths in the first quarter of 2020, a 2.6 percent increase as compared to the same time last year. Though slight, this increase is disappointing when considering that opioid-related fatalities decreased by 2.5 percent on an annual basis between 2018 and 2019, marking the first such decrease since the beginning of the opioid crisis.



Figure 1. Opioid-Related Fatalities 2011 Through the First Calendar Quarter, 2020*

*2019 and 2020 counts are preliminary.



Fentanyl continues to be the deadliest drug in Maryland. Fentanyl was involved in 523 fatalities, accounting for 93.2 percent of all opioid-related deaths. Fentanyl-related deaths increased by 4.4 percent from this time last year, compared to a 1.5 percent annual increase in 2019. The growth rate of fentanyl-related fatalities had been decreasing in the last three years. In 2017, for example, the number of fentanyl-related fatalities increased by 42.4 percent from the previous year, and in 2018, that number grew by 18.4 percent. Much like the increased number of overall opioid-related fatalities, the increase in fentanyl-related deaths may be an anomaly in a broader downward trend but is still very concerning and warrants vigilant observation.

Other opioid categories, namely heroin and prescription opioids, saw decreases in the first quarter of 2020. There were 142 heroin-related fatalities, a 28.6 percent decline from this time last year. Considering that overall opioid-related fatalities increased during the same timeframe, this trend is likely due to continued changes in illicit drug markets. That is, fentanyl has been displacing heroin in the last several years. Heroin-related fatalities have decreased annually since 2016, when there was a peak of 1,212 annual reported deaths.

There were 95 prescription opioid-related deaths in the first quarter of 2020. This is a 2.1 percent decrease from the first quarter of 2019. Like heroin-related fatalities, prescription opioid-related fatalities have decreased every year since 2016, at which time there were 418 annual reported deaths.





Non-Opioid Substances

Maryland saw significant increases in the number of fatalities related to other, non-opioid substances in the first quarter of 2020. There were 230 cocaine-related deaths, a 15.0 percent increase from this time last year. Cocaine accounted for the most non-opioid-related fatalities and was the substance most commonly mixed with opioids. There were 136 alcohol-related deaths in the first quarter of 2020, a 13.0 percent increase from the first quarter of 2019. Additionally, there were 31 benzodiazepine-related deaths and 20 methamphetamine-related deaths in the first three months of 2020, representing a 72.2 percent and 58.3 percent increase, respectively. These increases are striking despite the relatively smaller number of cases involved. For reference, in 2019, benzodiazepine-related fatalities decreased by 15.7 percent annually while methamphetamine-related fatalities increased by 28.1 percent annually.



Total methamphetamine-related fatalities reported in the first quarter of 2020 alone account for nearly half of the annual total reported in 2019, indicating rapid acceleration in methamphetamine use.

Figure 3. Intoxication Deaths by Substance



It is critical to note that the vast majority of fatalities involving non-opioid substances also involved combined use with opioids. Of the 417 instances in which a non-opioid was identified as a contributor to unintentional intoxication deaths, opioids were present 89.2 percent of the time.



Figure 4. Deaths Involving Substances Mixed with Opioids First Calendar Quarter, 2020*

*2019 and 2020 counts are preliminary.

Total **D** Mixed with Opioids

Fatalities at the County-Level

All 24 local jurisdictions in Maryland reported opioid-related intoxication fatalities in the first quarter of 2020. Baltimore City (205 deaths), Baltimore County (80 deaths), and Anne Arundel County (52 deaths) experienced the highest number of fatalities, collectively accounting for 60.1 percent of all opioid-related deaths in Maryland. Other counties that reported high numbers of opioid-related fatalities included Princes George's County, Washington County, and Montgomery County. These counties had 37, 30, and 26 fatalities, respectively.

| County | 2019 | 2020 | Difference | County | 2019 | 2020 | Difference |
|----------------|------|--------|------------|-----------------|------|------|------------|
| Allegany | 7 | 13 | 6 | Harford | 19 | 19 | 0 |
| Anne Arundel | 49 | 52 | 3 | Howard | 8 | 12 | 4 |
| Baltimore City | 239 | 205 | (34) | Kent | 3 | 1 | (2) |
| Baltimore | 76 | 80 | 4 | Montgomery | 19 | 26 | 7 |
| Calvert | 8 | 4 | (4) | Prince George's | 14 | 37 | 23 |
| Caroline | 5 | 4 | (1) | Queen Anne's | 4 | 1 | (3) |
| Carroll | 14 | 8 | (6) | Somerset | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Cecil | 11 | 20 | 9 | St. Mary's | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Charles | 3 | 6 | 3 | Talbot | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Dorchester | 1 | 6 | 5 | Washington | 24 | 30 | 6 |
| Frederick | 20 | 13 | (7) | Wicomico | 8 | 7 | (1) |
| Garrett | 0 | 2 | 2 | Worcester | 7 | 5 | (2) |
| | | a last | | Statewide Total | 547 | 561 | 14 |

Table 1. Opioid-Related Intoxication Deaths by County First Calendar Quarter, 2020*

Figure 5. Opioid-Related Intoxication Deaths in Maryland by County First Calendar Quarter, 2020*



*2019 and 2020 counts are preliminary.



Geographically, the most significant increases in opioid-related fatalities were seen in the Capital Region, which is made up of Montgomery County, Prince George's County, and Frederick County. The Capital Region had 76 opioid-related fatalities in the first quarter of 2020, a 43.4 percent increase from the first quarter of 2019. The largest increase, both regionally and statewide, was observed in Prince George's County, which had 23 additional fatal overdoses (37 in 2020 compared to 14 in 2019, a 164.3 percent increase).

Western Maryland, which includes Garrett County, Allegany County, and Washington County, saw a 45.2 percent regional increase, with 45 fatalities in the first quarter of 2020. Washington County led the region with 30 reported opioid-related fatalities, and Allegany County had an increase of 85.7 percent, with 13 fatalities.

The Eastern Shore saw a regional increase of 16.3 percent with 50 fatalities. The Eastern Shore is made up of Cecil, Caroline, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester counties. Cecil County, in particular, saw a significant increase, with 9 additional opioid-related fatalities. This was an 81.8 percent increase from the first quarter of 2019.

The largest decline in opioid-related fatalities was observed in Central Maryland, primarily resulting from a large decrease in Baltimore City. Central Maryland includes Anne Arundel County, Baltimore City, Baltimore County, Carroll County, Harford County, and Howard County. There were 29 fewer overdoses in Central Maryland, a decrease of 7.2 percent. Baltimore City had 34 fewer fatalities compared to this time last year, a 14.2 percent decrease.

Southern Maryland had 14 regional opioid-related fatalities, one fewer than last year, or a decrease of 6.7 percent. Southern Maryland includes Calvert County, Charles County, and St. Mary's County.

Emergency Department Visits

In apparent contradiction to the statistics on opioid-related fatalities reported above, the number of reported emergency department visits for non-fatal opioid overdoses decreased in the first calendar quarter of 2020. There were 1,261 such reported visits in the first three months of 2020, according to the Electronic Surveillance System for the Early Notification of Community-Based Epidemics ("ESSENCE") maintained by MDH. This is a 23.3 percent decrease from the first quarter of 2019, when there were 1,643 opioid-related ED visits for non-fatal opioid overdoses.

Figure 6. Non-fatal Opioid Overdose Emergency Department Visits



*2020 counts are preliminary.



While we do not know for certain why reported opioid-related ED visits decreased while opioid-related fatalities increased during the same timeframe, it should be acknowledged that the coronavirus pandemic was likely a contributing factor. According to ESSENCE, total ED visits for all conditions began declining in mid-to-late March, likely the result of individuals avoiding EDs due to fear of contracting the virus or as to not overburden the healthcare system. This is the same timeframe in which social distancing measures and travel restrictions were adopted in Maryland as discussed in the Executive Summary of this report.

Naloxone Administrations

As with non-fatal opioid-related ED visits, the number of naloxone administrations by emergency medical services personnel decreased in the first calendar quarter of 2020. According to the Maryland Institute for Emergency Medical Services Systems (MIEMSS), in the first 15 weeks of 2020, there were 2,489 naloxone administrations by EMS professionals in Maryland. This was a decrease of 19.3 percent from the same timeframe in 2019, when there were 3,086 administrations.



Figure 7. Naloxone Administrations by EMS Personnel First Calendar Quarter, 2020*

This is the first instance that the OOCC has included ED visits and naloxone administrations in our quarterly reports. There is an apparent contradiction between the declining numbers of reported non-fatal ED visits and naloxone administrations and the increasing opioid-related fatalities. The OOCC intends to coordinate with our state and local partners to identify any discrepancy in these statistics.



COVID-19 CROSS-AGENCY ACTION PLAN

The global coronavirus pandemic has necessitated a sweeping response that has rewired the systems of the opioid crisis, from drug-supply chains, to drug-use behaviors, to the provision of treatment. We do not yet know exactly how the pandemic has impacted any of the drug-use statistics presented in this report or how it will continue to influence substance-use trends in the future. Many of the largest disruptions to everyday life in Maryland, such as mandated social-distancing practices and travel restrictions, were not implemented until the final weeks of the quarter. These actions were the first official signals of the pandemic; however, it is impossible to understand precisely when the pandemic first affected the substance use landscape.

While the exact effects of the pandemic remain undetermined, general trends are now emerging. One of the most fundamental concerns is the availability of care for those struggling with substance use disorder (SUD). Increases in social isolation, disruptions to in-person treatment and counseling services, and the reconfiguration of daily routines could have profound impacts on those in crisis or recovery. Expanded access to telemedicine and to medications, such as methadone and buprenorphine for opioid-treatment-program (OTP) patients, were important early accommodations, but they may prove to be only small components of what is needed in the future.

We remain deeply concerned that the worst may be yet to come for those suffering from SUD. Of particular worry are shortages in the supply of illicit narcotics, such as fentanyl. Any resurgence of the supply of fentanyl after an extended disruption due to border closures could lead to a sudden spike in overdoses. This phenomenon is widely observed among those who have recently been released from incarceration or who have relapsed after treatment. Those who resume using their regular dosage of opioids after an extended period of withdrawal or tapering are at higher risk for overdose due to decreased tolerance. Additionally, any deep or sustained economic downturn has the potential to exacerbate despair among high-risk populations, potentially leading to new and worsening opioid use.

In collaboration with the Maryland Department of Health, the OOCC is leading the development of the state's new Cross-Agency Action Plan to respond to what we anticipate may be an increase in overdose fatalities following COVID-19. The plan will supplement the *Inter-Agency Opioid Coordination Plan* and will aim to address the social determinants of health, which can protect individuals from negative health outcomes, including problematic substance use.

The OOCC has received input from state partner agencies including MDH, Maryland Department of Labor, MIEMSS, Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD), Governor's Office for Crime Prevention Youth and Victim Services (GOCPYVS), Maryland Insurance Administration, High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA), and the Maryland State Police (MSP). Information gleaned from these partners is being incorporated into a plan that can be implemented quickly. We expect the plan to be released in June 2020.



OPIOID INTERVENTION TEAMS UPDATE

The OOCC coordinates routinely with the Opioid Intervention Team (OIT) in each of Maryland's 24 local jurisdictions. OITs are multiagency coordinating bodies that seek to enhance multidisciplinary collaboration to combat the opioid crisis at the local level. Each OIT is chaired by the local health officer and the emergency manager. OITs are also required to have representatives from various agencies and organizations, including law enforcement, social services, education, and various private community and faith-based groups. Each OIT is responsible for administering OOCC Block Grant funding (detailed beginning on page 16) to support local programs that advance Governor Hogan's three policy priorities of *Prevention & Education, Enforcement & Public Safety*, and *Treatment & Recovery* as outlined in the *Inter-Agency Opioid Coordination Plan* published in January, 2020.

Important note: Many OIT members are involved with the coronavirus pandemic response at the local level. Despite the incredible amount of time and resources each jurisdiction has devoted to the pandemic response, OITs are also continuing their work to address the ongoing and competing opioid crisis. Many OITs began meeting virtually during this time and are making additional adjustments to accommodate all mandated public health procedures in their activities.

Local Best Practices

The OOCC has identified and tracks 129 high-priority programs and services supported by OITs around the state. The charts below illustrate the implementation of these activities by our local partners based on self-reported OIT data. Responses on implementation status range from "no programming planned" (red) to "substantial programming in place" (dark green).

| OIT Program Inventory - Totals First Calendar Quarter, 2020 | Allegany | Anne Arundel | Baltimore City | Baltimore Co. | Calvert | Caroline | Carroll | Cecil | Charles | Dorchester | Frederick | Garrett | Harford | Howard | Kent | Montgomery | Prince George's | Queen Anne's | Somerset | St. Mary's | Talbot | Washington | Wicomico | Worcester |
|--|----------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------|----------|---------|-------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|------|------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Total of Substantial Programming Implemented | .61 | 45 | 65 | 62 | 29 | 61 | 64 | 41 | 1 | 35 | 08 | 25 | 90 | 54 | 60 | 32 | 38 | 37 | 46 | 56 | n | 81 | 46 | 39 |
| Total of Some Programming Implemented | 22 | 57 | 39 | 43 | 53 | 21 | 40 | 68 | 71 | 27 | 59 | 38 | 14 | 46 | 20 | 54 | 45 | 33 | 26 | 51 | 18 | 24 | 59 | 43 |
| Subtotal of Substantial & Some Programming | 83 | 102 | 104 | 105 | 82 | 82 | 104 | 109 | 74 | 62 | 107 | 63 | 104 | 100 | 89 | 86 | 83 | 70 | 72 | 107 | 95 | 105 | 105 | 82 |
| Total Programming in Development | 5 | 21 | 17 | 21 | 35 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 18 | 36 | 14 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 23 | 16 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 13 |
| Total of Programs Not Planned | | 5 | - | 1 | 12 | 34 | 14 | 10 | 37 | 31 | 6 | 47 | 6 | 10 | | 27 | -14 | 35 | 37 | 9 | 23 | 11 | 17 | 21 |

Table 2. Summary of Program Implementation by Jurisdiction

Of Maryland's 24 local jurisdictions, 22 reported having at least 50 percent of the 129 high-priority programs substantially or partially implemented. Around half (11) of local jurisdictions reported having at least 75 percent of these programs substantially or partially implemented. While all counties reported plans to expand high-priority programming, no counties reported full or partial implementation of all 129 programs, and no counties reported having plans to implement all 129 programs. This analysis illustrates two important points. One, all of Maryland's jurisdictions have made great progress in implementing high-priority programs in order to combat the substance-use crisis. However, there remains ample opportunity to expand programs and services in the future in every part of the state. Two, the substance-use crisis is a multifaceted issue with varying regional and statewide characteristics, and local officials should continue to prioritize programming based on their jurisdiction's specific needs.



Table 3. Full Local Best Practices Matrix

| OIT Program Inventory First Calendar Quarter, 2020 | Allegany | Anne Arundel | Baltimore City | Baltimore Co. | Calvert | Caroline | Carroll | Cecil | Charles | Dorchester | Frederick | Garrett | Harford | Howard | Kent | Montgomery | Prince George's | Cureen Anne S | somerset St. Marv's | Talbot | Washing ton | Wicomico |
|--|----------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------|----------|---------|-------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|------|------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|--------------|----------|
| | ub | lic H | lea | lth | | _ | _ | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Harm-Reduction Programs: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Naloxone Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Naloxone Training | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Syringe-Service Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 2 | | | | |
| Fentanyl Test-Strip Distribution | | | | | | 53 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wound-Care Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Information Campaigns (PSAs): | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 211 Press 1 | | | | | | | 100 | - | | | | 1 | | | | - | | | - 12 | | | |
| Access to Treatment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Anti-Stigma | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fentanyl | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Good Samaritan | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Naloxone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safe-Disposal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Talk to Your Doctor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Local Hotline to Access Treatment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Mobile-SUD Services (Non-Treatment) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 5. Prescriber Education/Academic Detailing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 100 | | | |
| 6. Safe-Disposal Program/Drop Boxes | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Employer-Education and Support Programs: | - | | | | | | | | - | _ | - | | | - | | - | | | | | | |
| Drug-Awareness Prevention | 1 | | 1 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | 17 | | | | |
| Information/Referral for Employees Seeking Treatment/Recovery | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | havi | iora | I He | ealt | h | | | | 15 | | - | - | | _ | | | | | | | _ | |
| 8. Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) Program | | | | | | | | | - | | 15 | - | | | | | | - | | | - | 8- 4E |
| 9. SUD Crisis -Services Facilities (Outside of ED) | | _ | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 | | | - | | i | |
| Assessment and Referral Center/Safe Station | | | | | - | | | | - | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allow Walk-ins | | | | | _ | | | | - | | | | | - | | 1.54 | | | | | | |
| 23-Hour Stabilization Services | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | _ | | 2161 | | | | - | | |
| | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | | - | - | | | |
| 1-4 Day Stabilization Services Mobile Crisis Team | | | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | | | | | - | |
| 24/7 Operation | | | - | | _ | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | - | | - | | | | | | | - | | | | | 111 | 100 | | |
| 10. Mobile-Treatment Program (Dispensing, etc.) 11. Medication-Assisted Treatment Availability: | | | 100 | | - | | | - | | | | | - | | | in n | | | | 100 | | |
| Vivitrol | | | | | | - | 1 | | | | | - | | | | | | - | | - | | |
| | | | | - | | | | - | | | | | | | | - | | -8 | | 1 | 9 . 1 | |
| Buprenorphine | | 2 | | - | | | | - | | | | | | | | 100 | | | 46 | | | |
| Methadone | | | | _ | 1 | | ÷., | | | | | | | | - | | | | and a | | | i di |
| 12. Certified Peer-Recovery Specialist Support: | | - | | - | | | | | - | | | - | | 1 | | 1.1.1 | | - | 100 | | | |
| DSS Service Center | | | | | | | - 1 | | | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| Health Department | | | 12.0 | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | - | - | | | | | - |
| Hospital ER | | - | | - : | - | | | | _ | | | - | | | - | - | | | - | | | |
| Jail Barris and Bastation Offices | | - | | | - | | | | | | | - | | | | - | - | + | - | | | |
| Parole and Probation Offices | | - | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | 1 | | | |
| Walk-in Center | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| On-Call 24/7 Availability | | | | | _ | | | | | | | _ | _ | | | _ | | - | - | | | |
| Post-Incident Outreach | | | 0//- | | - | h - | 12 | H-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Outpatient SUD Services in Jurisdiction: | - | - | - | - | _ | _ | | - | _ | | | | | _ | | | - | - | - | | | |
| ASAM Level 0.5 Early Intervention | | | _ | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | - | | - | 1 | |
| ASAM Level 1.0 for Adolescents and Adults | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



OPIOID OPERATIONAL COMMAND CENTER

| | Au | Anne Arundel | Baltimore City | e Co. | ert | ine | llo | 1 | es | ester | rick | t | ord | ard | Ŀ | Nontgomery | Prince George's | rset | Iry's | ot | Washington | nico Artar |
|--|----------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------|----------|---------|-------|---------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|----------|------------|-----------------|----------|------------|--------|---------------------|---------------|
| OIT Program Inventory First Calendar Quarter, 2020 | Alleganv | ne Ar | timor | Baltimore Co. | Calvert | Caroline | Carroll | Cecil | Charles | Dorchester | Frederick | Garrett | Harford | Howard | Kent | ontgo | leen F | Somerset | St. Mary's | Talbot | lashir | WICOMICO |
| | | Ani | Bal | Bal | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | Ē. | | | 01 | | 3 - | |
| Beha | viora | l He | alth | (c | ont | ťd) | | | _ | | | | | | _ | 1 | | _ | | _ | - | - |
| 14. ASAM Level 2.5 Partial Hospitalization | | | | | | 1.0 | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Licensed SUD Residential-Treatment Programs: | 100 | | 1 1 | - | - | | | - | | - | - | | - | | | T | - | | | | | |
| 3.1 Clinically Managed Low-Intensity | | - | | - | - | | | | | | | | | - | | + | | | | | ÷ | |
| 3.3 Clinically Managed High-Intensity for Adults Only | - | - | | | - | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | | | | |
| 3.5 Clinically Managed High-Intensity for Adults & Adolescents | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | | | | |
| 3.7 Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient | | 1 | | - | | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | - | | | | | | |
| 3.7 Medically Monitored Inpatient Withdrawal Mgmt. | _ | - | | | | | - | - | | | | | _ | | - | | - | | | | | |
| 16. Recovery-Support Programs | | 1 | | - | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | T |
| Sober-Living/Recovery Housing | | | | - | - | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | | | - |
| Wellness/Recovery Centers 17. Recovery Oriented Systems of Care (ROSC) | 1 | 1 | | - | - | | | | | | | - | | | 17.00 | | | 12 | | | | |
| | in mal | Chai | | A ++ | orr | 2014 | | - | - | | 10, 1-1 | - | | | | | - | | | - | and a second second | |
| | ciary/ | Jid | res l | mil | JI | 1 CY | _ | - | _ | | - | - | | _ | | _ | | - | - | | - | |
| 18. Specialized Courts: | - | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Adult Drug Court | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Adolescent Drug Court | - 1 | The second | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.1 | | | | | | | + |
| 19. Public-Messaging Program | | | | - | | | | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Prosecute for Distribution Leading to Death | - | | | | | | | - | | | | | | - | | | | - | | | | |
| 21. Pre-Trial Referral-to-Treatment Protocol | - | - | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| 22. Information Cards Provided by Commissioners | | | | | _ | | - | - | | | | | - | - | | - | | | | | | - |
| | | rrec | TIOI | ns | | 1 | | - | - | | - | _ | | | | - | | | 100 | 10.00 | | |
| 23. Universal Substance-Use Screening During Intake | _ | - | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 24. Pre-Trial Referral to Treatment | | | | | | | ð., | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | - | - | | | | |
| 25. Drug-Treatment Programs While Incarcerated: | - 1 | | | - | - | 170 | - | 1 | | 1.0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | T |
| Counseling | | | | | | | rie. | - | | | | | | | | | - | | 0 | | | |
| Methadone | - | - | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | 200 | | | | | | |
| Buprenorphine | | | - 10 | | | | - | - | | | | | | | | 100 | | | | | | |
| Vivitrol | | | | | i i | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | 18 | | |
| Outpatient (1.0) | | | | | 1 | | E. | | | | | ri i | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intensive Outpatient (2.1) | | | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26. Day-Reporting Center 27. Facilitated Re-Entry Programs: | _ | | | | - | | | | | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | - | | _ | |
| Employment-Transition Support | | | T | | T | 1 | 19 | | T | 1 | | 100 | | | | | 1.1 | | | 1.0 | | |
| MAT Upon Release | | | - | | + | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Naloxone Provided at Release | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recovery-Housing Referral | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transportation Assistance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Treatment-Program Referral/Warm Hand-Off | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28. Provide State Inmates Access to Local Re-Entry Programs | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29. Organized Planning for HB 116 | | - | | | | | | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30. Compassion-Fatigue Program | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| | arole | and | Pro | oba | atio | n | | | | _ | | | _ | _ | _ | | | | _ | | | |
| 31. Screening and Referral to Treatment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | No. | | | | | |
| 32. Treatment Monitoring Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | μ., | | | | | | | 2 | | |
| 33. SUD Services On-Site at Parole and Probation Offices | | | | I. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Emer | genc | yМ | edi | cal | Sei | rvic | es | - | | - | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34. Post-Incident EMS Outreach after Overdose | _ | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | |
| 35. Leave-Behind Information Cards | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | - | + | - | | |
| 36. Leave-Behind Naloxone | _ | | | | - | | | - | | | | | | 1. | - | | | | | | 1 | |
| 37. Transport to Alternative Destination (Non-ED) | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| 38. Compassion-Fatigue Program | _ | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | - | _ | _ | | | | _ | - | | _ | | _ | | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|-------|---------|------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|------|------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--------|------------|----------------|
| OIT Program Inventory First Calendar Quarter, 2020 | Allegany | Anne Arundel | Baltimore City | Baltimore Co. | Calvert Caroline | lione) | Cecil | Charles | Dorchester | Frederick | כמוברו | Harford Howard | Kent | Montgomery | Prince George's | Queen Anne's | Somerset | St. Mary's | Talbot | Washington | Worcester |
| | aw Er | for | 000 | | * | - | _ | | - | - | + | - | - | | | _ | - | - | - | - | |
| | .evv Er | 1101 | cen | lei | | | - | - | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | | - |
| 39. All Police Trained in Naloxone | | | | | - | | | - | | | | | | - | - | | | | | - | |
| 40. All Police Carry Naloxone 41. Leave-Behind Information Cards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| 42. Post-Incident Police Outreach after Overdose | | | | 1 | | T | | - | | | | | - | - | | | | - | | | |
| 43. Community-Awareness SUD Programming | - | - | | | | Ľ | | | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | |
| 44. Organized Pre-Arrest SUD Diversion/Referral Program | | | | | | Ľ. | | | | | t | | | 140 | | | | | | | |
| 45. Crisis Intervention Team-Trained Officers | | - | | | | | | | | | t | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46. Heroin/Overdose Coordinator | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use ODMap | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Receive Spike Alerts | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47. Compassion-Fatigue Program | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 8 N. | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | Socia | I Se | ervic | es | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48. SUD Screening and Referral at Intake | | | | | 10- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medicaid | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SNAP | | | | | | Ľ. | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| 49. Support Program for Exposed Newborns/Families | | | di. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50. DSS Staff Deployed in Schools | | | | | | | | | | | | | 15 | | | | | | | | |
| Hos | pitals | in J | uris | dic | tion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51. Dedicated Behavioral Health/SUD Emergency Room | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52. Buprenorphine Induction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53. Warm Hand-Off to SUD Provider/Services | - | | | | | E | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 54. Naloxone Distribution at Discharge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55. Peer Specialists on Staff | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| 56. Prescribing Guidelines for Staff | | | | | | | | | - | - | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| 57. Prescribing Patterns Tracked | | 5 | 3 | -1 | - | | 100 | | | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | |
| | Ed | uca | tion | - | | | | _ | _ | | - | | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ | - | |
| 58. Let's Start Talking Grade 3 -12 Prevention Education | | | | _ | _ | | | | _ | | | | | | | _ | | - | | | |
| 59. Supplemental Drug-Awareness Education | | | _ | - | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60. Behavioral Health Professionals on Staff (Non-Special Ed.) | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61. School Nurses Program: | - | - | | - | - | T | - | | - | - | Ť. | | | | | - | - | | | - | |
| Mental Health First-Aide Training Naloxone in Health Room | | | | | - | T | | | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | and the second |
| Assist with Prevention Education | | | | - | - | | | | - | | | | | | | | | U | | | |
| 62. "Safe Place" Identified within the School | | | | | - | | | | - | | T | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63. Mechanisms in Place to Identify Impacted Youth | | | | | - | | | | - | - | + | | | | | - | - | - | | | |
| 64. Services for Students Impacted by SUD at Home | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | - | | | | |
| 65. Handle with Care Implemented | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66. School-Based Prevention Clubs (e.g., SADD) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67. Community-Awareness Programming (After School) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ligher | Ed | ucat | tio | n | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 68. Substance Misuse Information Campaigns for Students | | | | | | | | | 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | T |
| 69. Student Wellness/Recovery Center | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| 70. SUD Student-Support Programing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | -1 | | | | | | |
| 71. Host SUD Events for Community | | | | Î | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | OIT | Г | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72. Full Membership | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 11 | | | |
| 73. Organized in Manner Consistent with Governor's Order | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 74. OIT Meets at Least Bi-Monthly | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75. Updated Strategic/Implementation Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 76. Co-Chaired by Health Officer and Emergency Manager | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 77. Emergency Manager Is Cabinet-Level Officer | - | | | | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78. Elected Officials Participate Regularly in OIT Meetings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 79. Elected Officials Engaged Regularly in SUD Programming | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 80. Full-Time Opioid Programming Coordinator | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



15 | Page

OOCC GRANTS

OOCC Grants Summary

The OOCC distributes funding through two distinct grant programs: (i) our Block Grant Program for local OITs and (ii) our Competitive Grant Program for statewide, local, and nongovernment grants. The purpose of the Block Grant Program is to provide a base level of flexible funding to all 24 local jurisdictions in order to combat the opioid crisis. The Block Grant Program is formula-based, with \$2 million in funding distributed equally among all jurisdictions and an additional \$2 million allocated proportionately according to opioid-related mortality rates. The purpose of the Competitive Grant Program is to distribute funding to the highest-scoring proposals received from state and local governments and from private, community-based partners. Proposals are scored based on how well they align with the OOCC's mission and the *Inter-Agency Opioid Coordination Plan* and how well they address the most pressing needs around the state.

Overview of Combined Grant Programs

The chart below illustrates combined grant program funding for Fiscal Year 2020 (July 1, 2019 to June 20, 2020) relative to Governor Hogan's policy priorities of *Prevention & Education, Enforcement & Public Safety*, and *Treatment & Recovery*. The 2020 Competitive Grant Program included two rounds of awards: one round of the total program allocation (approximately \$6 million) and a second round to reallocate first-round awards that were returned and/or canceled (approximately \$700,000). The second-round award distributions are still being finalized as of this writing.



Important note: Due to the coronavirus pandemic, the OOCC is working with its grantees to adapt 2020 project implementation to accommodate all state and local public health considerations. For example, many grantees are working to provide trainings or information sessions virtually instead of in-person as originally planned. Additionally, the OOCC is coordinating with grantees in observance of these guidelines by conducting grant progress reviews and expenditure reviews through the use of virtual meetings.



As shown in Figure 9, Baltimore City, Allegany County, Washington County, and Baltimore County will receive the greatest amount of grant funding in Fiscal Year 2020. Grants benefitting multiple jurisdictions or the entire state are excluded from this chart; those grants total \$1.9 million.



Figure 9. Fisal Year 2020 OOCC Block Grants and Competitive Grant Funding by Jurisdiction

FY2020 Block Grant Allocation

FY2020 Competitive Grant Award



Ċ,

Grants by Jurisdiction

The following table summarizes how the OOCC intends to allocate approximately \$10 million in Block and Competitive Grant funding by jurisdiction in Fiscal Year 2020.

| Award | Туре | Project Description |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Allegany County |
| | | Educate and provide outreach about the growing crisis of opioid prescription drugs and heroin misuse in the community |
| \$124,612 | Block | Reduce illicit supply of opioids |
| | | Support peer-recovery services |
| | | Increase availability of naloxone for first responders |
| \$443,000 | Competitive | Provide training and mentorship in a stress- and trauma-relief model to educators, healthcare workers, and addiction and detention programs |
| \$205,000 | Competitive | Support efforts of the Sheriff's Office to educate community on opioids |
| | | Anne Arundel County |
| \$278,074 | Block | Expand public-outreach programming to increase awareness and decrease morbidity and mortality from opioid overdoses and to reduce the stigma associated with opioid use disorder |
| \$278,074 | DIOCK | Continue supporting Safe Stations |
| | | Support start-up funding for recovery center |
| \$66,000 | Competitive | Support for children whose parent(s) and other close relatives have experienced a fatal or nonfatal overdose |
| \$53,000 | Competitive | Support for peer support services at the county detention centers |
| \$77,000 | Competitive | Expand recovery services |
| | | Baltimore City |
| | | Continue supporting mobile treatment clinic |
| \$793,719 | Block | Support increased access to harm-reduction materials and community- outreach activities |
| | | Support treatment program for access to medication-assisted treatment and care coordination, case management and health-literacy services |
| \$59,000 | Competitive | Reduce barriers to treatment services |
| \$97,000 | Competitive | Help women in accessing treatment and recovery services |

Table 3. FY 2020 Block Grants and Competitive Grants Summary



| Award | Туре | Project Description |
|-----------|-------------|---|
| | | Baltimore County |
| \$409,565 | Block | Continue supporting peer recovery services |
| \$67,000 | Competitive | Support a care coordinator and peer outreach associate to help individuals and families suffering from substance use disorder |
| \$15,000 | Competitive | Support mental and behavioral health counseling for children and families who are surviving victims of the opioid crisis |
| | | Calvert County |
| | | Provide peer recovery-support in the local emergency department |
| \$108,966 | Block | Expand access to clinical services and medications that support recovery from substance use disorder |
| | | Support medication-assisted treatment coordinator |
| | | Increase community awareness |
| \$60,000 | Competitive | Provide health curriculum in public school system focusing on mental- and emotional-health supports and substance use disorder prevention. |
| \$56,000 | Competitive | Support substance misuse prevention groups in the public school system |
| \$20,000 | Competitive | Support behavioral health services (addressing both substance misuse and mental health issues) in the public school system |
| \$66,000 | Competitive | Expand recovery services |
| | | Caroline County |
| | | Enhance data collection and analysis |
| \$91,323 | Block | Support treatment and recovery services |
| | | Decrease growth in opioid misuse though support of K-9 program |
| \$9,000 | Competitive | Support for trauma-informed training for therapists and counselors |
| \$118,000 | Competitive | Support for medical director to provide behavioral health services |
| | | Carroll County |
| \$137,594 | Block | Continue supporting mobile crisis services |
| \$47,000 | Competitive | Provide prevention-focused programming in two high schools, four middle schools, as well as 4 th - and 5 th -grade students from five Westminster-area elementary schools |
| \$62,000 | Competitive | Support for opioid abuse prevention project in public schools |
| \$106,000 | Competitive | Support three certified peer recovery specialists |



- OPIOID OPERATIONAL COMMAND CENTER -

| Award | Туре | Project Description |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Cecil County |
| | | Support youth risk-prevention program |
| | | Support over-the-counter medication safety training for youth |
| \$130,937 | Block | Provide transportation assistance to those in treatment and recovery |
| | | Support Drug-Free Cecil - Youth Leadership Project |
| | | Expand peer recovery specialist services in the community |
| \$97,000 | Competitive | Support prevention efforts in the public school system |
| \$104,000 | Competitive | Support prevention programming for Cecil youth |
| | | Charles County |
| | | Support for Opioid Intervention Team coordination |
| | | Expand peer recovery support services |
| \$112,960 | Block | Support harm reduction programming |
| | | Increase availability of naloxone for first responders |
| | | Support and facilitate outreach and public-awareness events |
| \$178,000 | Competitive | Provide behavioral health services in the detention center |
| | | Dorchester County |
| | | Support for Opioid Intervention Team coordination |
| | | Continue supporting drug-free fun and structured activities for youth and young adults |
| \$90,324 | Block | Support peer recovery services |
| | | Ongoing support SBIRT (screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment) services |
| | | Frederick County |
| \$155,237 | Block | Expand peer recovery support services |
| \$94,000 | Competitive | Expand outreach to families after an overdose death |
| | | Garrett County |
| | | Support Community Resource Team (CRT) to provide a bridge between identified potential clients and opioid-addiction services |
| \$85,664 | Block | Support program to eliminate barriers to recovery |
| | | Support drug prevention and education program in the school system |
| | | Support for Opioid Intervention Team |



OPIOID OPERATIONAL COMMAND CENTER -

| Award | Туре | Project Description |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| | | Harford County |
| \$169,552 | Block | Support a central intake, navigation, and recovery team to enhance early identification and intervention for those with substance use disorder |
| \$59,000 | Competitive | Support for parenting and family training sessions to increase resilience and reduce risk factors |
| \$126,000 | Competitive | Support for a certified peer recovery specialist to partner with EMS |
| \$119,000 | Competitive | Support recovery housing and support services |
| | | Howard County |
| \$124,279 | Block | Support SBIRT (screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment) services and connection to treatment providers |
| \$37,000 | Competitive | Support a peer counselor in the detention center |
| | | Kent County |
| \$86,662 | Block | Continue supporting peer specialist(s) for Opioid Community Intervention Project |
| \$41,000 | Competitive | Develop an integrated process for planning, policy development, and services for inmates with addiction and mental health issues |
| | | Montgomery County |
| | | Support public-awareness campaign |
| ¢162.004 | Disale | Host four or more community forums on opioid and substance misuse |
| \$162,894 | Block | Continue supporting community and police access to naloxone |
| | 2 | Continue supporting Stop Triage Engage Educate Rehabilitate (STEER) |
| | | Prince George's County |
| | | Support public-awareness campaign |
| \$191,190 | Block | Support outreach efforts to overdose survivors and their families for service connection |
| | | Queen Anne's County |
| | | Support naloxone distribution and training program |
| | | Support Go Purple Campaign |
| \$92,654 | Block | Support peer-recovery services |
| | _ | Support access to medications that support recovery from SUD |
| \$137,000 | Competitive | Support informational campaign, education and training, and enhanced data collection |



OPIOID OPERATIONAL COMMAND CENTER -

| Award | Туре | Project Description |
|-----------|--------------|---|
| | | Somerset County |
| | | Expand law enforcement support |
| \$88,992 | Block | Support peer recovery support specialist |
| | | Promote Somerset County Opioid United Team (SCOUT) initiative |
| | Salatt Acade | St. Mary's County |
| | | Support peer recovery support specialist program |
| \$107,634 | Block | Support for Opioid Intervention Team coordination |
| 9107,034 | DIOCK | Support treatment services to persons with substance use disorder who are incarcerated |
| \$59,000 | Competitive | Support a multi-faceted campaign for opioid prevention and awareness in the public school system |
| \$12,000 | Competitive | Provide alternative pain-management training to clinicians |
| | | Talbot County |
| \$92,654 | Block | Support for Early Intervention Project to connect women during the prenatal period when drug use is identified/suspected with counseling and other support services |
| | | Provide prevention and intervention for high-risk students and families |
| \$22,000 | Competitive | Support opioid-education programming |
| \$62,000 | Competitive | Provide a licensed social worker for students in the Bay Hundred area |
| | | Washington County |
| | | Continue supporting opioid crisis response team |
| \$148,913 | Block | Support Washington Goes Purple, which educates youth and community about the dangers of prescription pain medication |
| \$87,000 | Competitive | Support Washington Goes Purple campaign to increase awareness of opioid addiction and encourage students to get/stay involved in school |
| \$13,000 | Competitive | Support purchase of drug-disposal boxes |
| \$16,000 | Competitive | Support high-intensity services for justice-involved youth and families |
| \$57,000 | Competitive | Support the Sheriff's Office day reporting center |
| \$230,000 | Competitive | Support a sober-living facility for adult women. |

- OPIOID OPERATIONAL COMMAND CENTER ----

| Award | Туре | Project Description |
|--------------|-------------|---|
| Extended and | | Wicomico County |
| | | Support Heroin and Opioid Coordinator for the Wicomico County Goes Purple campaign |
| | | Support for Opioid Intervention Team coordination |
| \$117,288 | Block | Support First Responder's Appreciation Dinner |
| | | Reduce illicit supply of opioids through enforcement |
| | | Support education and prevention campaign |
| | | Worcester County |
| \$98,313 | Block | Support peer recovery specialist assignment in hospital ER |
| \$49,000 | Competitive | Support of Worcester Goes Purple awareness campaign |

| Award | Туре | Project Description |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Multi-jurisdictional and Statewide | | |
| \$9,000 | Competitive | Support Lower Shore Addiction Awareness Visual Arts Competition |
| \$20,000 | Competitive | Train women who are incarcerated as certified peer recovery specialists |
| \$49,000 | Competitive | Support anti-stigma campaign in four counties across each region of the state to create awareness of opioid use disorder and related stigma |
| \$50,000 | Competitive | Provide harm reduction materials at Maryland senior centers |
| \$97,000 | Competitive | Support a family peer support outreach specialist for Maryland families who are struggling with substance use disorders |
| \$108,000 | Competitive | Support families impacted by substance use statewide through Families Strong programming |
| \$129,000 | Competitive | Expand law-enforcement-assisted diversion (LEAD) programs to direct people in crisis to treatment |
| \$295,000 | Competitive | Improve access to naloxone statewide, specifically EMS |
| \$532,000 | Competitive | Support a regional crisis-stabilization center for Worcester, Wicomico, and Somerset counties |
| \$581,000 | Competitive | Increase monitoring and regulatory oversight of controlled-substances prescribers and dispensers |

