2021 Maryland Nursing Home Family Experience of Care Survey.

Statewide Results (May 2022)

Randolf S. Sergent, Esq CHAIRMAN

Ben Steffen EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR





Randolph S. Sergent, Esq, Chairman Vice President and Deputy General Counsel CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield

Bimbola Akintade, PhD

University of Maryland School of Nursing Associate Professor for the School of Nursing

Arun Bhandari, MD Chesapeake Oncology Hematology Associates, PA

Cassandra Boyer, BA

Business Operations Manager Enterprise Information Systems Directorate US Army Communications Electronics Command Mark T. Jensen, Esq. Partner Bowie & Jensen, LLC

Jeffrey Metz, MBA, LNHA President and Administrator Egle Nursing and Rehab Center

Gerard S. O'Connor, MD General Surgeon in Private Practice

Marcia Boyle, MS Founder Immune Deficiency Foundation **Michael J. O'Grady, PhD** Principal, Health Policy LLC, and Senior Fellow, National Opinion Research Ctr (NORC) at the University of Chicago

Martha G. Rymer, CPA Rymer & Associates, PA

> **Marcus L. Wang, Esq** Co-Founder, President and General Manager ZytoGen Global Genetics Institute

Karrie M. Wood Director of Business Development Community Bank of the Chesapeake

Trupti N. Brahmbhatt, PhD Senior Policy Researcher Rand Corporation

Tinisha Cheatham, MD Physician in Chief of the Mid-Atlantic Permanente Medical Group

Martin L. "Chip" Doordan, MHA Retired Chief Executive Officer Anne Arundel Medical Center

Table of Contents

A. Background	1
B. Summary Results – Statewide Results	2
C. Description of Sample	
D. Summary of Survey Methods	4
E. Description of Survey Instrument	6
F. How to Read and Interpret the Results	7
G. Domain Ratings	
Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home	10
Care Provided to Residents	13
Food and Meals*	16
Autonomy and Resident Rights	19
Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home	22
Activities*	25
Security and Resident's Personal Rights*	28
H. Overall Experience Ratings Overall Rating of Care Received at the Nursing Home	
Nursing Home Recommendation	
I. COVID-19 Ratings of Nursing Home Response to COVID-19	
J. Feedback	40
K. Item-Level Ratings	41

A. Background

The Maryland Health Care Commission (MHCC) family experience of care initiative began in 2005 with a pilot survey intended to guide the start of an annual process. The purpose of the initiative is to measure the experience and satisfaction of family members and other designated responsible parties of residents in Maryland's nursing homes. The project's specific objectives are to provide: 1) measures of responsible party experience and satisfaction; 2) comparisons on experience and satisfaction measures between nursing homes in Maryland; and 3) comparisons between nursing home peer groups, including geographical region, facility size, and ownership type.

The results of the survey are accessible to the public via the MHCC's *Maryland Quality Reporting* website - an interactive web tool containing information about an array of long-term care services including nursing homes and community support services such as senior centers, transportation, and technology assistance. Facility-specific results and this statewide report are posted on the site to assist consumers in making informed decisions when selecting a nursing home for themselves, a family member, or a friend.



B. Summary Results – Statewide Results

Table 1. Summary of Domain Scores – 2021 Statewide Results¹

	Statewide
Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home	3.3
Care Provided to Residents	3.1
Food and Meals	3.0
Autonomy and Resident Rights	3.1
Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home	3.2
Activities	2.6
Security and Resident's Personal Rights	3.3
Overall Rating of Care Received at the Nursing Home*	7.5
Percentage that said "Definitely Yes" or "Probably Yes" to "Would you recommend the nursing home?"	75%

*Unlike the other domains which are calculated on a score from one to four, the overall rating of care received at the nursing home is calculated on a scale from one to ten.

¹ Scores are calculated based on the questions in each item. Questions are scored by assigning the most positive possible answer category a score of 4, and the least positive possible answer a score of 1. See Section F for more detail. The only exception to this is scores based on individual questions.



C. Description of Sample

All nursing facilities in Maryland with one or more residents that had a 100 day stay or longer as of August 10, 2021 were included in the sample. All nursing homes were asked to provide a list of the designated family members of each of their current residents. If no family member was listed as overseeing the resident's care, another responsible party was selected to contact.

It is important to note that family members of residents with a stay of less than 100 days did not participate in this survey, so the experience and satisfaction of the family members of nursing facility residents with short-term skilled nursing care or rehabilitation needs are not captured by the results of this survey.



D. Summary of Survey Methods

All eligible (222) nursing facilities throughout the State of Maryland submitted a family member list in 2021. Using the exclusion criteria below, programs were developed to identify which responsible parties and residents met the requirement for inclusion in the survey.

Exclusion criteria:

- Date of admission is after June 2, 2021
- Resident and family member are the same individual
- No family member is listed
- Address for family member is incomplete or insufficient for mailing
- The contact address for the family member is a nursing home. In cases where the family member resides in an independent living facility associated with a nursing home, they were not excluded.
- The family member's address is outside the United States

The list obtained after exclusions became the mailing list used to contact potential respondents. In all, 15,663 family members were eligible to participate. An initial invitation letter containing a link to the online survey and a unique respondent passcode was mailed to the 15,412 eligible family members with a verified mailing address on August 14, 2021. Not all eligible family members had a valid mailing address and those participants were contacted by telephone and through email. The online survey module is a new survey mode started in 2020 intended to improve response rates and provide respondents with more options to complete the survey. Beginning October 12, 2021, telephone prompt calls were made to respondents from nursing homes with historically low response rates. Respondents were prompted to visit and complete the survey and were offered an email invitation if they provided an email address to the interviewer. Respondents also had the option to complete the survey over the telephone.

The first survey packet was mailed on October 15, 2021 to those who did not respond to the initial online or telephone survey. The survey packet included instructions on how to complete the survey online if they preferred. A second survey packet was sent on November 19, 2021. Follow-up telephone calls were made to responsible parties from specific facilities with a response rate of 50% or less to maximize the response rates and obtain completed surveys. Follow-up calls began on December 13, 2021 and ended on January 19, 2022. Calls were conducted from 9 AM to 9 PM on weekdays and 10 AM to 6 PM on Saturdays. A second smaller subset of individuals who were unintentionally excluded from the initial data collection were mailed invitation letters December 10, 2021 and subsequently had survey packets mailed to them January 3, 2022.

A total of 4,626 eligible surveys were completed through January 20, 2022 out of 15,663 eligible family members, resulting in a final response rate of 32% for all facilities. Table 2 summarizes the final 2021 Maryland Nursing Home Family Experience of Care Survey sample.



Table 2: 2021 Maryland Nursing Facility Family Survey Sample Summary

2021 Nursing Facility Family Survey	Total Participating Facilities	Total Eligible Family Members	Total Surveys Completed	Response Rate*	Undeliverable
Overall	222	15,633	4,626	32%	1,048

*The response rate is calculated by dividing the total number of surveys *completed* by the total number of eligible family members minus the number of undeliverable surveys



E. Description of Survey Instrument

The designated family members were asked to complete a survey about their experience and satisfaction with the facility and care provided to residents. The 2021 survey contained two overall measures of satisfaction and 31 items which assessed seven domains or aspects of residents' life and care:

- 1. Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home
- 2. Care Provided to Residents
- 3. Food and Meals Introduced in 2018
- 4. Autonomy and Residents' Rights
- 5. Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home
- 6. Activities Introduced in 2018
- 7. Security and Resident's Personal Rights Introduced in 2018

Within each domain, respondents rated different aspects of the resident's life and care.

A new section was added to the 2020 survey instrument addressing facility response to COVID-19 and remained in the 2021 survey instrument. The section consists of 3 questions, including a rating of how the respondent would rate the nursing home's response to the outbreak overall on a scale of 1 to 10.

An open-ended question was added to the end of the survey in 2020 (and retained through the current administration) asking respondents if there was anything else they would like to share.

For more detail on the methods and survey instrument changes, please request the complete technical documentation for this research by contacting Stacy Howes at stacy.howes@maryland.gov or 410-764-3575.



F. How to Read and Interpret the Results

This report contains tables and charts that display the average statewide ratings and ratings for peer groups defined by region of the state, facility size, ownership type, and payment source for each of the seven domains and the two overall satisfaction measures. For each domain, a trending line chart displays ratings over time and a bar chart displays ratings by peer group. The ratings were calculated by adding the rating given by each individual who responded to the question divided by the total number of responses.

Domain level ratings in the report are presented as averages on a scale of 1 to 4. The domain ratings are calculated by averaging the ratings on the 4-point scale, where 1 represents the most negative possible response and 4 represents the most positive possible response. The exact definition of responses is contextual, based on the phrasing of the question, however, the most positive response is generally 'Yes' or 'Always'. For negatively worded questions, where "Never" was the positive answer, for the purposes of reporting results, the responses were recorded so that 4=Never, 3=Sometimes, 2=Usually, and 1=Always so the higher number represents greater satisfaction. An example of such a question is Question 18: "In the past 6 months, how often, if at all, did you help with toileting because the nurses or nursing assistants either were not available or made him or her wait too long?"

These scores are averaged across all the valid questions within that domain. The overall experience rating is presented as an average on a 1 to 10 scale. The overall recommendation rating is presented by percentage of respondents recommending the nursing home.

The survey item ratings are the average of a sample of respondents (that is, not the entire population of respondents) and, as in any survey, there is a margin of error associated with the estimates.

To assist in better understanding results, this report also includes tests of statistically significant differences. These tests are designed to help determine: 1) whether a peer group's score is higher or lower than statewide scores and 2) if there has been an increase or decrease in statewide scores over time. You can see this statistic under the table "Significant Difference" in each domain score. Areas where the state score is significantly higher than the peer group or a prior year's score contain an up arrow (\uparrow). Areas where the state score is significantly lower than the peer group or a prior year's score contain a down arrow (\downarrow). Areas which have no significant difference are marked with a dash (-). These comparisons are made using 95% confidence intervals.



A low domain score indicates a low level of experience of care or satisfaction within a particular aspect of care and life, such as physical aspects of the nursing home, while a high score indicates a high level of experience of care or satisfaction. For example, a domain with a low score relative to a peer group or other domains may identify a high priority improvement opportunity. Domains with high scores identify areas where the facility exhibits a high level of performance. Comparing your facility's scores to peer groups can help your facility to identify opportunities for incremental improvements.

The majority of scores presented in this report are on the high end of the rating scale (above a 3). The obvious question is, "is a score good or bad?" It is not unusual for scores to be skewed to the positive because consumers are generally satisfied with the personal care their relatives receive. However, there is always room for improvement, especially when comparing scores in relation to one another. To identify meaningful differences, we suggest that readers look at top rated items and domains and compare them to lower rated items. Please note, the survey was not conducted in 2017, and trending scores for that year are not presented in the results.

Family member feedback about the home was reviewed for context and has been coded into themes. The results are presented in a table with the labels indicating the theme and the percentage indicating the proportion of those whose feedback fit that theme. Feedback from one person may be coded into as many as three different themes.

Region of the State	Licensed Bed Size Counts	Ownership Type	Payment Source
Western Maryland	80 or fewer beds	Non-Profit	Medicaid
Montgomery County	81 – 120 beds	For Profit	Other
Southern Maryland	121 – 160 beds		
Central Maryland	161+ beds		
Eastern Shore			

For reference, the peer groups used in this report are:



G. Domain Ratings

Summary of Domain Ratings

Figure 1. Summary of Domain Scores – 2021 Statewide Results





Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home

Figure 2. 2014-2021 Domain Scores for Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home



Table 3. 2014-2021 Significant Differences for Staff and Administration of theNursing Home Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	3.3	
2020	3.4	\checkmark
2019	3.4	\checkmark
2018	3.4	\checkmark
2016	3.6	\downarrow
2015	3.6	↓
2014	3.7	\downarrow



Figure 3. 2021 Peer Group Scores for Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home



Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home



Table 4. 2021 Significant Differences for Staff and Administration of the Nursing
Home vs Peers

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against Peer Group			
	Score	2021 Difference	
2021 Statewide	3.3		
Region			
Western Maryland	3.5	\checkmark	
Montgomery County	3.2	-	
Southern Maryland	3.2	\uparrow	
Central Maryland	3.3	-	
Eastern Shore	3.3	\checkmark	
Size			
80 or fewer beds	3.5	\checkmark	
81 – 120 beds	3.4	\checkmark	
121 – 160 beds	3.2	1	
161+ beds	3.3	\uparrow	
Ownership			
Non-Profit	3.5	\checkmark	
For-Profit	3.2	^	
Payment Source			
Medicaid	3.3	-	
Other	3.2	-	



Care Provided to Residents

Figure 4. 2014-2021 Domain Scores for Care Provided to Residents



Table 5. 2014-2021 Significant Differences for Care Provided to Residents Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	3.2	
2020	3.3	↓ ↓
2019	3.3	\downarrow
2018	3.4	↓ ↓
2016	3.4	↓ ↓
2015	3.5	4
2014	3.5	↓ ↓











	Score	2021 Difference
2021 Statewide	3.2	
Region		
Western Maryland	3.4	\checkmark
Montgomery County	3.2	-
Southern Maryland	3.1	1
Central Maryland	3.2	-
Eastern Shore	3.3	-
Size		
80 or fewer beds	3.4	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	3.3	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	3.1	↑
161+ beds	3.2	-
Ownership		
Non-Profit	3.4	\checkmark
For-Profit	3.1	1
Payment Source		
Medicaid	3.2	-
Other	3.2	-

Table 6. 2021 Significant Differences for Care Provided to Residents vs Peers



Food and Meals*



Figure 6. 2018-2021 Domain Scores for Food and Meals

Table 7. 2018-2021 Significant Differences for Food and Meals Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	3.0	
2020	3.1	\checkmark
2019	3.0	\checkmark
2018	3.1	\checkmark

A difference marked \uparrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison year. A difference marked \downarrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison year. Areas which have no statistically significant difference are marked with a dash (-).

 $\ensuremath{^*\text{Food}}$ and Meals Domain was redefined in the 2018 survey administration









2021 Statewide Score Measured Against Peer Group		
Score 2021 Dif		
2021 Statewide	3.0	
Region		
Western Maryland	3.2	\checkmark
Montgomery County	3.0	-
Southern Maryland	2.9	1
Central Maryland	3.0	-
Eastern Shore	3.1	\checkmark
Size		
80 or fewer beds	3.3	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	3.1	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	2.9	↑
161+ beds	3.0	-
Ownership		
Non-Profit	3.2	\checkmark
For-Profit	2.9	^
Payment Source		·
Medicaid	3.0	-
Other	3.0	-

Table 8. 2021 Significant Differences for Food and Meals vs Peers



Autonomy and Resident Rights

Figure 8. 2014-2021 Domain Scores for Autonomy and Resident Rights



Table 9. 2014-2021 Significant Differences for Autonomy and Resident Rights Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	3.1	
2020	3.1	-
2019	3.3	\downarrow
2018	3.3	\downarrow
2016	3.5	\downarrow
2015	3.5	\downarrow
2014	3.5	\downarrow







Autonomy and Resident Rights



	Score	2021 Difference
2021 Statewide	3.1	
Region		
Western Maryland	3.3	\checkmark
Montgomery County	3.2	\checkmark
Southern Maryland	2.9	\uparrow
Central Maryland	3.1	-
Eastern Shore	3.2	-
Size		
80 or fewer beds	3.4	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	3.2	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	3.0	1
161+ beds	3.1	-
Ownership		
Non-Profit	3.4	\checkmark
For-Profit	3.0	1
Payment Source		
Medicaid	3.1	-
Other	3.1	-

Table 10. 2021 Significant Differences for Autonomy and Resident Rights vs Peers

A difference marked \uparrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked \downarrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Areas which have no statistically significant difference are marked with a

dash (-).



Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home

Figure 10. 2014-2021 Domain Scores for Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home



Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home

Table 11. 2014-2021 Significant Differences for Physical Aspects of the NursingHome Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	3.2	
2020	3.2	-
2019	3.2	-
2018	3.2	-
2016	3.4	\checkmark
2015	3.4	\checkmark
2014	3.4	\downarrow



Figure 11. 2021 Peer Group Domain Scores for Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home



Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home



Table 12. 2021 Significant Differences for Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home vs Peers

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against Peer Group		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021 Statewide	3.2	
Region		
Western Maryland	3.3	\checkmark
Montgomery County	3.1	-
Southern Maryland	3.1	1
Central Maryland	3.2	-
Eastern Shore	3.1	-
Size		
80 or fewer beds	3.4	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	3.3	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	3.0	\uparrow
161+ beds	3.1	-
Ownership		
Non-Profit	3.4	\downarrow
For-Profit	3.1	1
Payment Source		
Medicaid	3.2	-
Other	3.2	-



Activities*



Figure 12. 2018-2021 Domain Scores for Activities

Table 13. 2018-2021 Significant Differences for Activities Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	2.6	
2020	2.7	\checkmark
2019	3.0	\checkmark
2018	3.0	\checkmark

A difference marked \uparrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison year. A difference marked \downarrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison year. Areas which have no statistically significant difference are marked with a dash (-).

*Activities Domain was added in the 2018 survey administration





Figure 13. 2021 Peer Group Domain Scores for Activities



2021 Statewide Score Measured Against Peer Group		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021 Statewide	2.6	
Region		
Western Maryland	2.9	\checkmark
Montgomery County	2.6	-
Southern Maryland	2.4	\uparrow
Central Maryland	2.6	-
Eastern Shore	2.7	\checkmark
Size		
80 or fewer beds	2.9	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	2.7	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	2.5	1
161+ beds	2.5	1
Ownership		
Non-Profit	2.8	\checkmark
For-Profit	2.6	1
Payment Source		
Medicaid	2.6	-
Other	2.6	-

Table 14. 2021 Significant Differences for Activities vs Peers



Security and Resident's Personal Rights*

Figure 14. 2018-2021 Domain Scores for Security and Resident's Personal Rights



Table 15. 2018-2021 Significant Differences for Security and Resident's Personal Rights Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	3.3	
2020	3.3	\checkmark
2019	3.3	-
2018	3.3	-

A difference marked \uparrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison year. A difference marked \downarrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison year. Areas which have no statistically significant difference are marked with a dash (-).

*Security and Resident's Personal Rights Domain was added in the 2018 survey administration



Figure 15. 2021 Peer Group Domain Scores for Security and Resident's Personal Rights



+ *

Table 16. 2021 Significant Differences for Security and Resident's Personal Rights vs Peers

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against Peer Group		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021 Statewide	3.3	
Region		
Western Maryland	3.5	\checkmark
Montgomery County	3.2	-
Southern Maryland	3.1	\uparrow
Central Maryland	3.3	-
Eastern Shore	3.3	-
Size		
80 or fewer beds	3.5	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	3.4	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	3.1	1
161+ beds	3.3	-
Ownership		
Non-Profit	3.5	\downarrow
For-Profit	3.2	1
Payment Source		
Medicaid	3.3	-
Other	3.3	-



H. Overall Experience Ratings

Overall Rating of Care Received at the Nursing Home

Note: Unlike the other domains, which are calculated on a score from one to four, the overall rating of care received at the nursing home is calculated on a scale from one to ten.

Figure 16. 2014-2021 Scores for Overall Rating of Care Received at the Nursing Home



Table 17. 2014-2021 Significant Differences for Overall Rating of Care Received at the Nursing Home Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021	7.5	
2020	7.8	\checkmark
2019	7.6	\checkmark
2018	7.7	\checkmark
2016	8.1	\checkmark
2015	8.2	\checkmark
2014	8.3	\checkmark





Figure 17. 2021 Peer Group Scores for Overall Rating of Care Received at the Nursing Home



Table 18. 2021 Significant Differences for Overall Rating of Care Received at the
Nursing Home vs Peers

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against Peer Group		
	Score	2021 Difference
2021 Statewide	7.5	
Region		
Western Maryland	8.2	\checkmark
Montgomery County	7.4	-
Southern Maryland	7.2	Λ
Central Maryland	7.5	-
Eastern Shore	7.6	-
Size		
80 or fewer beds	8.4	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	7.8	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	7.1	↑
161+ beds	7.6	-
Ownership		
Non-Profit	8.3	\checkmark
For-Profit	7.3	<u>↑</u>
Payment Source		
Medicaid	7.6	-
Other	7.4	Λ


Nursing Home Recommendation

Note: For this measure, the charts and tables summarize the percentage of respondents indicating that they would "definitely" or "probably" recommend the nursing home.

Figure 18. 2014-2021 Scores for Nursing Home Recommendation



Table 19. 2014-2021 Significant Differences for Nursing Home Recommendation Trending

2021 Statewide Score Measured Against								
	Score	2021 Difference						
2021	75%							
2020	80%	\downarrow						
2019	78%	\downarrow						
2018	81%	\downarrow						
2016	86%	\downarrow						
2015	86%	\downarrow						
2014	88%	↓						

A difference marked \uparrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison year. A difference marked \downarrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison year. Areas which have no statistically significant difference are marked with a dash (-).



Figure 19. 2021 Peer Group Domain Scores for Nursing Home Recommendation



Would Recommend this Nursing Home



2021 Statewide Sc	ore Measured Against Pe	er Group
	Score	2021 Difference
2021 Statewide	75%	
Region		
Western Maryland	85%	\checkmark
Montgomery County	74%	-
Southern Maryland	70%	↑
Central Maryland	75%	-
Eastern Shore	77%	-
Size		
80 or fewer beds	89%	\checkmark
81 – 120 beds	78%	\checkmark
121 – 160 beds	70%	↑
161+ beds	77%	-
Ownership		
Non-Profit	87%	\checkmark
For-Profit	72%	↑
Payment Source		
Medicaid	77%	-
Other	73%	\uparrow

Table 20. 2021 Significant Differences for Nursing Home Recommendation vs Peers

A difference marked \uparrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked \downarrow indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Areas which have no statistically significant difference are marked with a dash (-).



I. COVID-19

Ratings of Nursing Home Response to COVID-19

Figure 20. 2020-2021 Rating for Offering Timely Information to Loved Ones About How the Resident was Affected by the COVID-19 Outbreak











Figure 22. 2020-2021 Rating for Overall Rating of the Nursing Home's Response to the COVID-19 Outbreak



Nursing home response to COVID-19 was rated on a scale of 1 - 10 where 1 is the worst response possible and 10 is the best response.



J. Feedback

Beginning in 2020, respondents were able to provide open-ended feedback about their experiences with the nursing home. Responses have been coded into categories, and the percentages of each response category are provided below.

	%
Unable to Visit Inside Due to COVID-19, Hard to Answer Questions	16%
Resident Receives Poor Care	15%
Nursing Home Does Not Keep Family Informed, Poor Communication	15%
High Staff Turnover, Understaffed	13%
Overall Satisfied, Would Recommend	11%
Resident Receives Great Care, Taken Care of During COVID-19 (Even if Infected)	11%
Staff is Caring, Friendly, Compassionate	10%
Resident is Unkempt, Dirty (No Haircuts, No Showers, Untrimmed Nails)	8%
Nursing Home Informs Family of COVID-19 Updates, Resident's Health/Status (Call, Zoom, FaceTime, Emails)	7%
Staff is Professional, Helpful, Responsive	6%
Unhappy with COVID-19 Visitation Policy	6%
Unhappy with Food, Lacking Healthy Options	6%
Staff Does Not Listen to Resident/Family, Ignores Needs and Requests	5%
Other	5%
Poor Phone System, No One Answers Phone	5%
Personal Items Lost, Stolen, Damaged	5%
Poor Staff Attitude, Rude, Disrespectful	5%
Nursing Home is Dirty, Needs Repairs, Smells	5%
Missing Clothes, Residents Share Clothing	4%
Overall Dissatisfied, Would Not Recommend	4%
Residents Isolated During COVID-19, Affects Mental Health	3%
Lack of Activities	3%
Nusing Home Unprepared to Handle COVID-19, Poor Safety Protocols	3%
Untrained, Uninformed Staff	3%
Resident is Satisfied with Staff, Nursing Home	2%
Nursing Home is Clean, Well-Kept	2%
Not Enough Exercise, Movement, Physical Therapy	2%
Nursing Home Ownership Changes, Management Changes	1%

Percentages based on 2,282 respondents who provided an answer to the question. Percentages may not sum to 100 because multiple responses were accepted.

K. Item-Level Ratings

This section provides a summary of each of the items that are used in calculating the seven domain scores. Questions were evaluated using either a 4-point scale or as Yes/No options. In addition, there were two questions that evaluated the overall experience and the level of care provided by the nursing home. Please note that:

- Item scores are calculated by providing the percent of respondents answering in a 'top box' score. The top box is the percentage of respondents rating an item in the two most positive response categories. For example, in questions rated Always to Never, the percentage of respondents assessing an item as Always or Usually if those are the most positive, or Sometimes and Never if those are the most positive responses.
- For Yes/No responses, only the percentage of respondents providing a positive response are displayed.
- For the overall rating of care question, the rate of individuals ranking the item as a 7 or higher out of 10 is displayed.

Low scoring items indicate a low level of satisfaction and a low level of positive experience and high scores indicate a high level of satisfaction and a high level of positive experience. For more details on the scoring metrics used, please refer to Section F.

Several items presented here are used as screening questions to determine the appropriateness of follow-up questions. These questions are presented here in the interest of completeness. They display the percentage at which respondents answered in such a way as to require any follow-up questions. These questions are presented in *italicized text*. They are not considered when calculating the domain score.

New questions added in 2018 are highlighted in **bold**.



Table A. 2021 Item Level Scores by Region

						Regi	on				
	State wide	West Mary		Montgo Cour		South Mary		Cent Mary		Easte Sho	
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home			•								•
In the last 6 months, how often did you receive timely notification of changes such as the resident's condition, medications, or emergencies?	75%	83%	\checkmark	72%	-	68%	Ϋ́	75%	-	74%	-
In the last 6 months, if you asked for information about the resident, how often did you get the information within 48 hours?	73%	85%	1	70%	\uparrow	65%	Υ	74%	-	74%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat <u>you</u> with courtesy and respect?	88%	93%	\checkmark	84%	\uparrow	85%	-	87%	-	91%	\downarrow
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat the <u>resident</u> with courtesy and respect?	83%	90%	\downarrow	83%	-	80%	\uparrow	81%	-	87%	\downarrow
In the last 6 months, how often did staff members respect the resident's privacy?	87%	93%	\checkmark	84%	-	83%	\uparrow	86%	-	91%	\downarrow
In the last 6 months, how often did you feel confident the staff was knowledgeable about the resident's medical condition(s) and treatment(s)?	74%	82%	¥	71%	-	69%	↑	74%	-	75%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were you able to find a nurse or aide when you wanted one?	67%	77%	\downarrow	65%	-	60%	Ŷ	67%	-	71%	-
In the last 6 months, did the nurses or nursing assistants ever discourage you from asking questions about the resident? (% no)	94%	96%	↓	92%	\uparrow	93%	-	94%	-	93%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



						Regi	on				
	State wide	West Mary		Montgo Cour		South Maryl		Cent Maryl		Easte Sho	
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Care Provided to Residents											
Were you invited to participate in a care conference in the last 6 months?	82%	86%	\downarrow	83%	-	75%	\uparrow	82%	-	81%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were you involved as much as you wanted in care decisions?	76%	81%	\downarrow	77%	-	67%	↑	77%	-	73%	\uparrow
In the last 6 months, during any of your visits, did you help the resident with toileting?	9%	6%	↑	12%	\downarrow	7%	↑	9%	-	9%	-
In the last 6 months, how often, if at all, did you help with toileting because the nurses or nursing assistants either were not available or made the resident wait too long?	74%	77%	-	67%	-	80%	-	74%	-	75%	-
In the last 6 months, did the resident look and smell clean?	80%	87%	\downarrow	82%	-	77%	-	78%	1	82%	-
In the last 6 months, did the resident use the nursing home's laundry service for his or her clothes?	83%	91%	\downarrow	80%	-	81%	-	81%	۲	88%	\checkmark
In the last 6 months, how often were you satisfied with the laundry services the resident received?	74%	83%	\checkmark	75%	-	68%	Υ	70%	↑	79%	\checkmark
In the last 6 months, did you see any resident, including this resident, behave in a way that made it hard for nurses or nursing assistants to provide care?	14%	14%	-	17%	\downarrow	9%	Υ	14%	-	12%	-
How often did nurses/nursing aides handle the situation in a way that was acceptable to you?	81%	86%	\downarrow	78%	-	79%	-	80%	-	84%	-
In the last 6 months, did you discuss any issues or concerns with the nursing home staff about the care the resident received in the nursing home?	60%	53%	Υ	67%	¥	59%	-	61%	-	51%	↑

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



						Regi	0 n				
	State	West		Montgo		South	lern	Cent		East	
	wide	Mary		Cou		Maryl		Mary	-	Sho	re
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Care Provided to Residents (continued)											
In the last 6 months, were you satisfied with the way the nursing home staff handled issues or concerns that you brought to their attention?	59%	69%	≁	58%	-	52%	Ŷ	59%	-	62%	-
In the last 6 months, did you ever stop yourself from talking to any nursing home staff about your concerns because you thought they might take it out on the resident?	83%	85%	\rightarrow	83%	-	79%	Ŷ	82%	-	85%	-
How often did you help with eating or drinking because the nurses or nursing assistants were not available to help or made him or her wait too long?	86%	86%	-	87%	-	85%	-	86%	-	84%	-
Food and Meals											
In the last 6 months, how often was the resident served a variety of food (e.g., fresh vegetables and fruits, lean meats, fish)?	77%	83%	1	78%	-	72%	Υ	77%	-	78%	-
In the last 6 months, how often was the food served to the resident high quality (i.e., attractive, appetizing, and nutritious)?	65%	72%	¥	66%	-	62%	↑	63%	-	67%	-
Activities											
In the last 6 months, how often were meaningful activities offered most days of the week?	58%	69%	\checkmark	54%	↑	49%	↑	57%	-	64%	\downarrow
In the last 6 months, how often were physical activities (i.e., activities that encourage some movement) offered most days of the week?	53%	66%	\checkmark	52%	-	46%	Ŷ	51%	-	54%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



						Regi	on				
	State wide	West Mary		Montgo Cour		South Mary		Cent Mary		Easte Sho	
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Autonomy and Resident Rights											
If the resident desires private space for visits such as with clergy or family, how often is private space available?	75%	83%	\checkmark	77%	-	68%	\uparrow	74%	-	76%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did you observe that the resident's or other residents' privacy was protected when the resident was dressing, showering, bathing, or in a public area?	83%	85%	-	89%	\downarrow	76%	Υ	82%	-	83%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were the resident's preferences about daily routine carried out (e.g. time and place for meals and time and type of bath)?	71%	77%	\downarrow	76%	\downarrow	68%	-	69%	\uparrow	74%	-
Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home											
In the last six months, how often was the resident's room bright and cheerful?	72%	79%	\checkmark	71%	-	68%	\uparrow	72%	-	71%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the public areas of the nursing home look and smell clean?	85%	90%	\checkmark	83%	-	80%	\uparrow	86%	-	86%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the resident's room look and smell clean?	78%	85%	\checkmark	78%	-	72%	$\mathbf{\uparrow}$	78%	-	77%	-
In the last 6 months, how often was the noise level around the resident's room acceptable to you?	81%	87%	\checkmark	80%	-	76%	\uparrow	82%	-	78%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



						Regi	on				
	State wide	West Maryl		Montgo Cour		South Mary		Cent Maryl		Easte Sho	
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Security and Resident's Personal Rights											
In the last 6 months, how often was there enough security for the facility (e.g., alarms, security guard, locked doors)?	90%	95%	\checkmark	87%	Ŷ	87%	Υ	90%	-	91%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal items?	69%	79%	\rightarrow	65%	-	62%	Υ	68%	-	71%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal safety?	88%	92%	\rightarrow	86%	-	85%	-	87%	-	88%	-
Satisfaction with Overall Experience											
Using any number from 1 to 10, where 10 is the best care possible and 1 is the worst care possible, what number would you use to rate the care at this nursing home?	73%	82%	\checkmark	71%	-	67%	Υ	72%	-	72%	-
If someone needed nursing home care, would you recommend this nursing home to them?	75%	85%	↓	74%	-	70%	↑	75%	-	77%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table B. 2021 Item Level Scores by Facility Owner

			Owners	һір Туре	
	Statewide	Non-	profit	For-p	orofit
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home					
In the last 6 months, how often did you receive timely notification of changes such as the resident's condition, medications, or emergencies?	75%	85%	\downarrow	71%	۲
In the last 6 months, if you asked for information about the resident, how often did you get the information within 48 hours?	73%	83%	\checkmark	70%	↑
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat <u>you</u> with courtesy and respect?	88%	92%	\checkmark	86%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat the <u>resident</u> with courtesy and respect?	83%	89%	\checkmark	81%	↑
In the last 6 months, how often did staff members respect the resident's privacy?	87%	93%	\downarrow	85%	۲
In the last 6 months, how often did you feel confident the staff was knowledgeable about the resident's medical condition(s) and treatment(s)?	74%	80%	\downarrow	72%	1
In the last 6 months, how often were you able to find a nurse or aide when you wanted one?	67%	78%	\downarrow	64%	\uparrow
In the last 6 months, did the nurses or nursing assistants ever discourage you from asking questions about the resident?	94%	95%	\checkmark	93%	-
Care Provided to Residents		-	1		
Were you invited to participate in a care conference in the last 6 months?	82%	88%	\checkmark	80%	۲
In the last 6 months, how often were you involved as much as you wanted in care decisions?	76%	86%	\checkmark	72%	۲
In the last 6 months, during any of your visits, did you help the resident with toileting?	9%	13%	\checkmark	8%	\uparrow
In the last 6 months, how often, if at all, did you help with toileting because the nurses or nursing assistants either were not available or made the resident wait too long?	74%	75%	-	73%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table B. 2021 Item Level Scores by Facility Owner (continued)

		Ownership Type							
	Statewide	Non-j	profit	For-p	orofit				
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff				
Care Provided to Residents (continued)									
In the last 6 months, did the resident look and smell clean?	80%	87%	\downarrow	78%	\uparrow				
In the last 6 months, did the resident use the nursing home's laundry service for his or her clothes?	83%	83%	-	83%	-				
In the last 6 months, how often were you satisfied with the laundry services the resident received?	74%	82%	\checkmark	71%	\uparrow				
In the last 6 months, did you see any resident, including this resident, behave in a way that made it hard for nurses or nursing assistants to provide care?	14%	18%	\checkmark	12%	Ŷ				
How often did nurses/nursing aides handle the situation in a way that was acceptable to you?	81%	92%	\checkmark	76%	۲				
In the last 6 months, did you discuss any issues or concerns with the nursing home staff about the care the resident received in the nursing home?	60%	61%	-	59%	-				
In the last 6 months, were you satisfied with the way the nursing home staff handled issues or concerns that you brought to their attention?	59%	74%	\downarrow	55%	۲				
In the last 6 months, did you ever stop yourself from talking to any nursing home staff about your concerns because you thought they might take it out on the resident?	83%	85%	\downarrow	82%	-				
How often did you help with eating or drinking because the nurses or nursing assistants were not available to help or made him or her wait too long?	86%	88%	\downarrow	85%	-				
Food and Meals									
In the last 6 months, how often was the resident served a variety of food (e.g., fresh vegetables and fruits, lean meats, fish)?	77%	86%	\mathbf{v}	74%	Ŷ				
In the last 6 months, how often was the food served to the resident high quality (i.e., attractive, appetizing, and nutritious)?	65%	74%	\downarrow	62%	Ŷ				

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table B. 2021 Item Level Scores by Facility Owner (continued)

			Ownership Type							
	Statewide	Non-	profit	For-p	orofit					
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff					
Activities										
In the last 6 months, how often were meaningful activities offered most days of the week?	58%	64%	\downarrow	55%	-					
In the last 6 months, how often were physical activities (i.e., activities that encourage some movement) offered most days of the week?	53%	60%	\downarrow	50%	۲					
Autonomy and Resident Rights										
If the resident desires private space for visits such as with clergy or family, how often is private space available?	75%	88%	\downarrow	71%	۲					
In the last 6 months, how often did you observe that the resident's or other residents' privacy was protected when the resident was dressing, showering, bathing, or in a public area?	83%	91%	\downarrow	80%	Ŷ					
In the last 6 months, how often were the resident's preferences about daily routine carried out (e.g. time and place for meals and time and type of bath)?	71%	79%	\downarrow	69%	Ŷ					
Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home										
In the last six months, how often was the resident's room bright and cheerful?	72%	84%	\checkmark	67%	۲					
In the last 6 months, how often did the public areas of the nursing home look and smell clean?	85%	93%	\checkmark	82%	۲					
In the last 6 months, how often did the resident's room look and smell clean?	78%	88%	\checkmark	74%	۲					
In the last 6 months, how often was the noise level around the resident's room acceptable to you?	81%	88%	\downarrow	78%	۲					

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table B. 2021 Item Level Scores by Facility Owner (continued)

			Owners	hip Type	
	Statewide	Non-j	profit	For-p	orofit
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Security and Resident's Personal Rights					
In the last 6 months, how often was there enough security for the facility (e.g., alarms, security guard, locked doors)?	90%	96%	\checkmark	88%	Ŷ
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal items?	69%	82%	\checkmark	64%	۲
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal safety?	88%	94%	\checkmark	85%	Ŷ
Satisfaction with Overall Experience					
Using any number from 1 to 10, where 10 is the best care possible and 1 is the worst care possible, what number would you use to rate the care at this nursing home?	73%	84%	\downarrow	69%	ŕ
If someone needed nursing home care, would you recommend this nursing home to them?	75%	87%	\checkmark	72%	Υ

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table C. 2021 Item Level Scores by Number of Beds

				Li	censed	l Bed Siz	е		
	Statewide	80 or f		81 -		121 -		161+	beds
		bee Score	as Diff	bee Score	is Diff	bee Score	as Diff	Score	Diff
Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home			2		2.11		2		2
In the last 6 months, how often did you receive timely notification of changes such as the resident's condition, medications, or emergencies?	75%	84%	\downarrow	76%	-	71%	Ŷ	75%	-
In the last 6 months, if you asked for information about the resident, how often did you get the information within 48 hours?	73%	85%	\checkmark	78%	\checkmark	68%	1	73%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat <u>you</u> with courtesy and respect?	88%	93%	\checkmark	90%	\checkmark	85%	Ŷ	86%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat the <u>resident</u> with courtesy and respect?	83%	91%	\checkmark	84%	-	79%	\uparrow	85%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did staff members respect the resident's privacy?	87%	92%	\checkmark	88%	-	84%	1	87%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did you feel confident the staff was knowledgeable about the resident's medical condition(s) and treatment(s)?	74%	84%	\downarrow	76%	\downarrow	70%	Ϋ́	73%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were you able to find a nurse or aide when you wanted one?	67%	79%	\checkmark	74%	\checkmark	60%	↑	67%	-
In the last 6 months, did the nurses or nursing assistants ever discourage you from asking questions about the resident?	94%	97%	\checkmark	95%	-	93%	-	93%	-
Care Provided to Residents									
Were you invited to participate in a care conference in the last 6 months?	82%	88%	\checkmark	83%	-	79%	1	82%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were you involved as much as you wanted in care decisions?	76%	84%	\checkmark	78%	\downarrow	73%	1	75%	-
In the last 6 months, during any of your visits, did you help the resident with toileting?	9%	10%	-	7%	1	8%	-	11%	\downarrow
In the last 6 months, how often, if at all, did you help with toileting because the nurses or nursing assistants either were not available or made the resident wait too long?	74%	67%	-	74%	-	76%	-	73%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table C. 2021 Item Level Scores by Number of Beds (continued)

				Li	censed	Bed Size	е		
	Statewide	80 or f bec		81 – bec		– 121 – beo		161+1	beds
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Care Provided to Residents (continued)									
In the last 6 months, did the resident look and smell clean?	80%	90%	\downarrow	83%	\downarrow	76%	↑	80%	-
In the last 6 months, did the resident use the nursing home's laundry service for his or her clothes?	83%	87%	\checkmark	84%	-	81%	-	83%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were you satisfied with the laundry services the resident received?	74%	84%	\mathbf{v}	77%	\downarrow	69%	Υ	73%	-
In the last 6 months, did you see any resident, including this resident, behave in a way that made it hard for nurses or nursing assistants to provide care?	14%	13%	-	15%	-	12%	-	14%	-
How often did nurses/nursing aides handle the situation in a way that was acceptable to you?	81%	92%	\downarrow	87%	\downarrow	73%	↑	81%	-
In the last 6 months, did you discuss any issues or concerns with the nursing home staff about the care the resident received in the nursing home?	60%	55%	\uparrow	58%	-	63%	\downarrow	59%	-
In the last 6 months, were you satisfied with the way the nursing home staff handled issues or concerns that you brought to their attention?	59%	75%	¥	64%	\downarrow	54%	Υ	59%	-
In the last 6 months, did you ever stop yourself from talking to any nursing home staff about your concerns because you thought they might take it out on the resident?	83%	88%	¥	85%	↓	79%	۲	83%	-
How often did you help with eating or drinking because the nurses or nursing assistants were not available to help or made him or her wait too long?	86%	90%	\downarrow	90%	\downarrow	83%	-	84%	-
Food and Meals									
In the last 6 months, how often was the resident served a variety of food (e.g., fresh vegetables and fruits, lean meats, fish)?	77%	87%	\checkmark	80%	\downarrow	73%	Υ	77%	-
In the last 6 months, how often was the food served to the resident high quality (i.e., attractive, appetizing, and nutritious)?	65%	77%	¥	69%	\downarrow	60%	Υ	65%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



.Table C. 2021 Item Level Scores by Number of Beds (continued)

		Licensed Bed Size							
	Statewide	80 or f bec		81 – bec		– 121 – bec		161+1	beds
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Activities									
In the last 6 months, how often were meaningful activities offered most days of the week?	58%	71%	\checkmark	63%	\downarrow	53%	\uparrow	54%	↑
In the last 6 months, how often were physical activities (i.e., activities that encourage some movement) offered most days of the week?	53%	67%	\checkmark	57%	\checkmark	49%	↑	48%	^-
Autonomy and Resident Rights									
If the resident desires private space for visits such as with clergy or family, how often is private space available?	75%	86%	\downarrow	77%	-	71%	\uparrow	75%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did you observe that the resident's or other residents' privacy was protected when the resident was dressing, showering, bathing, or in a public area?	83%	89%	\mathbf{v}	83%	-	81%	-	84%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were the resident's preferences about daily routine carried out (e.g. time and place for meals and time and type of bath)?	71%	83%	¥	76%	\downarrow	66%	Υ	70%	-
Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home									
In the last six months, how often was the resident's room bright and cheerful?	72%	81%	\checkmark	77%	\downarrow	67%	↑	71%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the public areas of the nursing home look and smell clean?	85%	94%	\checkmark	89%	\mathbf{V}	81%	۲	85%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the resident's room look and smell clean?	78%	90%	\checkmark	84%	\downarrow	72%	\uparrow	77%	-
In the last 6 months, how often was the noise level around the resident's room acceptable to you?	81%	90%	\checkmark	84%	\downarrow	78%	\uparrow	80%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table C. 2021 Item Level Scores by Number of Beds (continued)

		Licensed Bed Size							
	Statewide	80 or f bea		81 – bec		121 – 160 beds		161+1	beds
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Security and Resident's Personal Rights									
In the last 6 months, how often was there enough security for the facility (e.g., alarms, security guard, locked doors)?	90%	94%	\checkmark	91%	-	88%	\uparrow	90%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal items?	69%	80%	\checkmark	73%	\checkmark	63%	۲	68%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal safety?	88%	92%	\checkmark	90%	\checkmark	84%	۲	89%	-
Satisfaction with Overall Experience									
Using any number from 1 to 10, where 10 is the best care possible and 1 is the worst care possible, what number would you use to rate the care at this nursing home?	73%	85%	\checkmark	77%	\downarrow	67%	Ϋ́	73%	-
If someone needed nursing home care, would you recommend this nursing home to them?	75%	89%	\mathbf{v}	78%	\downarrow	70%	↑	77%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table D. 2021 Item Level Scores by Payment Source

			Paymer	nt Source	
	Statewide	Med	icaid	Otl	
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Staff and Administration of the Nursing Home					
In the last 6 months, how often did you receive timely notification of changes such as the resident's condition, medications, or emergencies?	75%	77%	\downarrow	70%	Υ
In the last 6 months, if you asked for information about the resident, how often did you get the information within 48 hours?	73%	75%	\downarrow	71%	Ŷ
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat <u>you</u> with courtesy and respect?	88%	88%	\downarrow	86%	Υ
In the last 6 months, how often did the nurses and nursing assistants treat the <u>resident</u> with courtesy and respect?	83%	85%	\downarrow	80%	Λ
In the last 6 months, how often did staff members respect the resident's privacy?	87%	88%	\downarrow	85%	\uparrow
In the last 6 months, how often did you feel confident the staff was knowledgeable about the resident's medical condition(s) and treatment(s)?	74%	75%	\downarrow	70%	Λ
In the last 6 months, how often were you able to find a nurse or aide when you wanted one?	67%	69%	\downarrow	65%	1
In the last 6 months, did the nurses or nursing assistants ever discourage you from asking questions about the resident?	94%	94%	-	93%	-
Care Provided to Residents					
Were you invited to participate in a care conference in the last 6 months?	82%	83%	\downarrow	79%	\uparrow
In the last 6 months, how often were you involved as much as you wanted in care decisions?	76%	77%	\downarrow	73%	1
In the last 6 months, during any of your visits, did you help the resident with toileting?	9%	8%	\uparrow	12%	\checkmark
In the last 6 months, how often, if at all, did you help with toileting because the nurses or nursing assistants either were not available or made the resident wait too long?	74%	75%	-	72%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table D. 2021 Item Level Scores by Payment Source (continued)

			Paymer	nt Source	Source		
	Statewide	Med	icaid	Ot	her		
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff		
Care Provided to Residents (continued)							
In the last 6 months, did the resident look and smell clean?	80%	80%	-	80%	-		
In the last 6 months, did the resident use the nursing home's laundry service for his or her clothes?	83%	86%	\downarrow	77%	۲		
In the last 6 months, how often were you satisfied with the laundry services the resident received?	74%	72%	1	77%	\downarrow		
In the last 6 months, did you see any resident, including this resident, behave in a way that made it hard for nurses or nursing assistants to provide care?	14%	12%	\uparrow	17%	\checkmark		
How often did nurses/nursing aides handle the situation in a way that was acceptable to you?	81%	77%	\uparrow	86%	\downarrow		
In the last 6 months, did you discuss any issues or concerns with the nursing home staff about the care the resident received in the nursing home?	60%	57%	^	65%	\checkmark		
In the last 6 months, were you satisfied with the way the nursing home staff handled issues or concerns that you brought to their attention?	59%	59%	-	61%	\checkmark		
In the last 6 months, did you ever stop yourself from talking to any nursing home staff about your concerns because you thought they might take it out on the resident?	83%	83%	\downarrow	81%	۲		
How often did you help with eating or drinking because the nurses or nursing assistants were not available to help or made him or her wait too long?	86%	86%	-	86%	-		
Food and Meals							
In the last 6 months, how often was the resident served a variety of food (e.g., fresh vegetables and fruits, lean meats, fish)?	77%	77%	-	78%	-		
In the last 6 months, how often was the food served to the resident high quality (i.e., attractive, appetizing, and nutritious)?	65%	65%	-	66%	-		

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table D. 2021 Item Level Scores by Payment Source (continued)

			Paymer	nt Source	
	Statewide	Med	icaid	Otl	ner
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Activities					
In the last 6 months, how often were meaningful activities offered most days of the week?	58%	59%	\downarrow	54%	\uparrow
In the last 6 months, how often were physical activities (i.e., activities that encourage some movement) offered most days of the week?	53%	53%	-	52%	-
Autonomy and Resident Rights					
If the resident desires private space for visits such as with clergy or family, how often is private space available?	75%	76%	-	74%	Ŷ
In the last 6 months, how often did you observe that the resident's or other residents' privacy was protected when the resident was dressing, showering, bathing, or in a public area?	83%	83%	-	84%	-
In the last 6 months, how often were the resident's preferences about daily routine carried out (e.g. time and place for meals and time and type of bath)?	71%	72%	-	70%	Ţ
Physical Aspects of the Nursing Home					
In the last six months, how often was the resident's room bright and cheerful?	72%	73%	-	71%	\uparrow
In the last 6 months, how often did the public areas of the nursing home look and smell clean?	85%	85%	-	86%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the resident's room look and smell clean?	78%	78%	-	80%	\downarrow
In the last 6 months, how often was the noise level around the resident's room acceptable to you?	81%	81%	-	81%	-

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.



Table D. 2021 Item Level Scores by Payment Source (continued)

	-		Paymen	t Source	
	Statewide	Med	icaid	Otl	her
		Score	Diff	Score	Diff
Security and Resident's Personal Rights					
In the last 6 months, how often was there enough security for the facility (e.g., alarms, security guard, locked doors)?	90%	90%	-	90%	-
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal items?	69%	67%	Ŷ	72%	\downarrow
In the last 6 months, how often did the nursing home take sufficient steps to protect the resident's personal safety?	88%	88%	-	87%	-
Satisfaction with Overall Experience					
Using any number from 1 to 10, where 10 is the best care possible and 1 is the worst care possible, what number would you use to rate the care at this nursing home?	73%	74%	\downarrow	71%	۲
If someone needed nursing home care, would you recommend this nursing home to them?	75%	77%	\downarrow	73%	\uparrow

A difference marked ↑ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly higher than the comparison peer group. A difference marked ↓ indicates the 2021 Statewide score was statistically significantly lower than the comparison peer group. Blank cells indicate no difference.

