Decreasing prevalence but increasing importance of left ventricular dysfunction and reoperative surgery in prediction of mortality in coronary artery bypass surgery: Trends over 18 years
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Objectives: The number of patients referred for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) has fallen, whereas their risk profile appears to be increasing. We evaluated changes in the predictors of hospital mortality among patients undergoing CABG during a span of 18 years.

Methods: Data were collected prospectively for all patients undergoing isolated CABG (n = 23,445) from 1991 to 2008. To examine the effect of time on patients’ risk profiles and outcomes, we divided patients into 3 time cohorts (1991-1996, n = 8280; 1997-2002, n = 9801; 2003-2008, n = 5364). We used multivariable logistic regression model to identify predictors of mortality in the entire cohort and in each time cohort.

Results: Hospital mortality declined from 2.4% (1991-1996) to 1.2% (2003-2008; \( P < .0001 \)). Urgent or emergency surgery, left ventricular dysfunction, reoperative CABG, increased age, female gender, hypertension, cardiogenic shock, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, left main disease, and earlier year of operation (1991-1996) were independent predictors of hospital mortality. The prevalence of most risk factors for mortality increased over the 18-year period of this study. In contrast, the prevalence of severe left ventricular dysfunction and reoperative CABG decreased significantly; however, their associated odds of mortality increased with time.

Conclusions: Whereas the prevalence of most risk factors increased with time, left ventricular dysfunction and reoperative CABG became significantly less common. However, the odds of mortality associated with these 2 predictors increased, indicating that although they occur less commonly, these 2 risk factors paradoxically play an increasingly important role in determining patient outcomes. (J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012;144:340-6)

During the past 2 decades, increasingly older patients with more comorbidities have been referred to cardiac surgeons for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). Despite increasing patient risk factors over time, many studies have shown consistent improvement in operative outcomes.1-4

Over the past decade, however, many cardiac centers have noted decreasing volumes of isolated CABG. Aldea and colleagues5 reported a decrease of 37% in CABG volume, while the volume of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) increased by 71%. This reduction in CABG volumes may have multiple effects, including an impact on hospital outcomes. A number of studies have reported associations between hospital volumes and outcomes,6-8 although this relationship remains controversial. In the context of decreasing volumes and increasing risk profiles for patients undergoing isolated CABG, we analyzed our experience during an 18-year period to evaluate changes in patient risk profiles, document their outcomes, and determine changes in the independent predictors of hospital mortality.

METHODS

Data Collection and Definitions

Clinical, operative, and outcome data were collected prospectively in a computerized database for 23,445 consecutive patients undergoing isolated CABG at our institution between January 1, 1991, and December 31, 2008. Patients undergoing CABG with other concomitant procedures were excluded.

The study was approved by our institutional research ethics board. The primary outcome was hospital mortality, which was defined as any postoperative death during the index hospitalization. Variables collected included age, sex, left ventricular (LV) grade (by LV ejection fraction [LVEF]: grade 1, LVEF ≥ 60%; grade 2, LVEF 40%-59%; grade 3, LVEF 20%-39%; grade 4, LVEF < 20%), previous CABG, urgency of operation (seminurgent, indicating an operation during the same admission as a cardiac...
catheterization or a cardiac event; urgent, indicating an operation within 72 hours of an event; emergency, indicating an operation within 12 hours of an event), number of diseased coronary arteries, presence of greater than a 50% stenosis of the left main coronary artery, and severity of angina, among other data.

Statistical Analyses
All statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC). To examine the effect of time on patient risk profiles and outcomes, we divided patients into 3 groups according to the year of operation (1991-1996, 1997-2002, and 2003-2008). Contingency table analysis was then used to evaluate changes with time in prevalence of preoperative patient characteristics, intraoperative, and postoperative variables. Univariate analyses were performed with $\chi^2$ or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables. Variables that had a univariate $P$ value less than .25 or those of known clinical importance were submitted to a multivariable logistic regression model using a stepwise backward elimination method to calculate risk-adjusted mortality and factor-adjusted odds ratios (ORs). Model discrimination was evaluated by the area under the receiver-operator characteristic curve, and calibration was assessed with the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic. The model was evaluated for multicolinearity with the variance inflation factor where variance inflation factor less than 2.5 indicates multicolinearity.

RESULTS
Baseline Characteristics and Survival
A total of 23,445 patients underwent isolated CABG between 1991 and 2008. A trend in volume of isolated CABG over the 18-year span is depicted in Figure 1. The number of patients increased progressively from 1991, peaked in 1998 (n = 1829), and then decreased substantially, by almost 70%, from 1998 to 2008.

Preoperative patient characteristics are detailed in Table 1. The prevalence of most risk factors increased steadily. The rate of left main disease almost doubled between the first and the last time cohort (18.6% vs 33.3%). The number of patients undergoing semielective surgery has increased over the last 2 cohorts, whereas the number of patients undergoing elective, urgent, or emergency surgery has declined significantly. The prevalence of patients with LV dysfunction (LVEF < 60%) has decreased over time, from 70% in the first time cohort to 50% in the last one. The prevalence of reoperative CABG declined as well. The changing risk profile of patients undergoing CABG during this 18-year span is depicted in Figure E1.

Intraoperative variables and postoperative outcomes are detailed in Table 2. The overall in-hospital mortality declined significantly from 2.4% in the first time cohort to 1.2% in the last one. Although the duration of intensive care unit stay has fluctuated, the duration of hospital stay declined significantly with time. Trends of postoperative outcomes are shown in Figure 2.

Predictors of Hospital Mortality
Analysis of the 23,445 patients undergoing operation during the entire 18-year period of the study using stepwise multivariable logistic regression showed the following factors to be independent predictors of hospital mortality: increased age, female gender, hypertension, peripheral vascular disease, LV dysfunction, cardiogenic shock, congestive heart failure, reoperative CABG, left main disease, urgency of surgery, and earlier year of operation (Table E1). Emergency CABG carried the most significant risk (OR, 4.5), followed by LVEF less than 20% (OR, 4.2) and then reoperative CABG (OR, 3).

Trends in Multivariable Risk Factors for Hospital Mortality
The changing trends in independent predictors of hospital mortality by time cohort are detailed in Table 3. Unadjusted hospital mortality associated with individual predictors is shown in Figure E2.

LV dysfunction. Moderate (LVEF 20%-39%) and severe (LVEF < 20%) LV systolic dysfunction were predictors of hospital mortality in the first time cohort. In the second cohort, however, LVEF did not predict mortality. LV systolic dysfunction then reappeared as a significant predictor of mortality in the last time cohort. The overall mortality for patients with an LVEF less than 20% declined significantly from 11.1% in the first time cohort to 6.4% and 6.3% in the second and the third time cohorts, respectively. Similarly, for patients with an LVEF of 20% to 39%, the observed mortality declined from 3.6% in the first time cohort to 1.8% in the second and 2.3% in the last time cohort.
Although the prevalence of LVEF less than 20% declined significantly with time, the associated ORs for mortality increased over the past decade, from 2.5 in the second time cohort to 3.8 in the last one.

Reoperative CABG. The prevalence of reoperative CABG decreased from 6.9% in the first cohort to 2.6% in the last one. In contrast, the risk of hospital mortality in this group, relative to patients undergoing primary CABG, has increased over time (ORs, 2.8, 3.1, and 3.5 in the first, second and third time cohorts, respectively). Inasmuch as the mortality of reoperative CABG did not change significantly during the entire span of this study, this increase in ORs was driven largely by improving outcomes in patients undergoing first-time operations.

Urgency of surgery. Observed mortality for patients undergoing urgent surgery declined in the most recent cohort. Similarly, mortality for patients undergoing elective or semiurgent surgery declined significantly during the 18-year span of this study. In contrast, patients requiring emergency CABG continued to be at increased risk. The OR for mortality associated with emergency surgery has fluctuated over the 3 time cohorts. It was lowest in the first cohort (OR, 3.5) and higher in the later cohorts (ORs, 12.7 and 7.1).

Age and gender. Patients aged 75 years and older had significantly higher hospital mortality than those younger than 75 years, but the mortality for elderly patients decreased substantially from 5.8% in the first time cohort to 2% in the last one. Hospital mortality also declined in younger (<70 years) patients, falling from 1.7% in the first cohort to 0.8% in the last one.

In the last cohort, hospital mortality among female patients fell to 1.5% compared with 4% in the first cohort.
Furthermore, in the last cohort (2003-2008), there was no significant difference in observed mortality between men and women, 1.1% and 1.5%, respectively (\( P = .2 \)). Female gender was a predictor of hospital mortality during the first and second time cohorts but not in the last one.

**DISCUSSION**

We found in this study that the prevalence of most risk factors that have consistently predicted in-hospital mortality in previous studies\(^2-4,9-12\) increased steadily with time. These factors included advanced age, female gender, hypertension, left main disease, preoperative myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease. This was not a surprise for us; indeed, we and others have previously reported similar findings.\(^2-4,10-12\) What was surprising, however, was the decrease in prevalence of LV dysfunction and reoperative CABG and the associated increase in risk of death for these subgroups in our most recent cohort. This reduction in the prevalence of reoperative CABG and LV dysfunction, with an
TABLE 3. Changing trends in multivariable risk factors by year group (odds ratios)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency surgery§</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent surgery</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperative CABG</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF &lt;20%</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF 20%-40%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CABG, Coronary artery bypass grafting; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction. The numbers in the last three columns represent odds ratios. *The area under the ROC curve was 0.78. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit P value was 0.75. †The area under the receiver-operator characteristic curve was 0.79. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit P value was .32. §Emergency patients from catheterization laboratories or emergency units of same or other hospitals.

associated and apparently paradoxical increase in their odds of death, has not to our knowledge been reported before.

The independent predictors of in-hospital mortality in our cohort are generally similar to those previously described by Davierwala, Maganti, and Yau with the exception of diabetes, which was not found to be a predictor of in-hospital mortality in our series. Emergency surgery carried the highest risk of mortality in our overall series (OR, 4.5; 95% confidence intervals [CI], 2.4-8.4), followed by severe LV dysfunction (LVEF <20% [OR, 4.2; 95% CI, 2.7-6.5]) and then reoperative CABG (OR, 3; 95% CI 2.2-4.0). These findings are in agreement with those of Abramov and colleagues, who reported urgency of surgery as the strongest predictor of CABG mortality (OR, 4.5), followed by reoperative CABG and then LVEF less than 35%. Similarly, Jones and associates reported urgency of surgery as the most significant predictor of mortality after CABG. Davierwala, Maganti, and Yau found LVEF less than 20% as the most significant predictor of in-hospital mortality, followed by emergency surgery and then reoperative CABG.

LV Dysfunction

Contrary to our initial assumptions, the prevalence of patients with moderate to severe LV dysfunction (LVEF <40%) decreased steadily with time. We hypothesized that this decline might be related to a shift of patients with poor LV function from isolated CABG to combined CABG and valvular surgery, particularly mitral surgery. Exploratory analyses of our institutional data demonstrated a similar reduction in the prevalence of LV dysfunction in patients undergoing combined aortic valve surgery and CABG (prevalence of LVEF <40% of 22.8%, 20.7%, and 13.8% for the first, second, and third time cohorts, respectively). In contrast, the prevalence of LVEF less than 40% among patients who underwent combined mitral valve surgery and CABG has increased progressively with time (30.6%, 32.8%, and 38.7% for the first, second, and third time cohorts, respectively). This shift of patients with LVEF less than 40% from the isolated CABG group to the combined CABG and mitral valve surgery group over the past 2 decades appears to explain most of the reduced prevalence of moderate to severe LV systolic dysfunction in our patients with isolated CABG. However, our data cannot clarify whether there was a simultaneous decrease in the referral of patients with LV dysfunction for CABG in favor of PCI.

Hospital mortality in patients with significant LV dysfunction (LVEF <40%) fell by almost 50%, from 4.5% in the first cohort to 2.3% in the second one, but was essentially unchanged (2.7%) in the last cohort. Surprisingly, the ORs for mortality associated with LV dysfunction increased over the past decade, from 2.5 in the second cohort to 3.8 in the last one. Thus, although LV dysfunction is observed less commonly in patients with isolated CABG, its relative importance in determining survival has increased rather than decreased over the past decade.

Whereas moderate (LVEF 20%-39%) and severe LV dysfunction (LVEF <20%) were both independent predictors of in-hospital mortality for the entire cohort of 23,445 patients, neither level of LV dysfunction was predictive of mortality in the second cohort (1997-2002), despite the large sample size of 9801 patients. Other investigators have also reported that LV dysfunction was no longer predictive of mortality in the middle of this last decade. Filsoufi and colleagues reported that LVEF less than 30% was not an independent predictor of early mortality (OR, 1.43; 95% CI, 0.72-2.86; P = .3). Similarly, Davierwala and colleagues, who analyzed the impact of LVEF on patients undergoing CABG during 3 time periods from 1990 to 2001, showed that the impact of LVEF on early mortality declined over time so that low LVEF no longer predicted mortality in the last period of their study. Sabik and associates also found that later date of operation decreased mortality in patients with LV dysfunction (P = .05), and by the end of their study, poor LV function was no longer associated with hospital death. In contrast, the most recent cohort of our current series suggests that as overall results improve, and despite the decreasing prevalence of LV dysfunction, its relative importance in determining outcomes is again increasing.

Reoperative CABG

Although the proportion of reoperative CABGs increased over the 1990s in many centers, we observed a steady decrease in reoperative CABG over the past 18 years. This decline may have been related to more aggressive use of PCI in patients with graft atherosclerosis, and perhaps to greater graft longevity, with the near-universal adoption of aggressive lipid-lowering agents as well as
other secondary prevention measures. However, our data do not permit more than speculation as to these causes.

Similar to our findings in patients with LV dysfunction, the ORs for mortality associated with reoperative CABG increased over time, from 2.8 in the first cohort to 3.5 in the last cohort, even as the prevalence of redo surgery fell. Unadjusted mortality among patients undergoing reoperative CABG decreased from 5.8% in the first time cohort to 3.3% and 3.6% in the second and third cohorts, so it appears that overall improvements in outcomes are unmasking a more predictive role of reoperative surgery.

Many studies, including reports from multicenter registry data, suggest that redo CABG is still a significant undertaking, with many centers reporting mortality rates from 5% to 12%. A recent multicenter study by Yap and associates reported results similar to ours, with operative mortality of 4.8% for redo CABG compared with 1.8% for first-time CABG (P < .001). After adjustment, redo surgery remained a predictor for operative mortality (OR, 2.1; 95% CI, 1.3-3.6).

Urgency of Surgery

The proportion of patients referred for urgent CABG declined in our series from 14.4% in the first cohort to 9.4% in the last one, whereas the prevalence of truly emergency or salvage operation decreased from 1.4% to 0.5%. Ferguson and colleagues have reported a similar reduction in the prevalence of emergency and salvage operations. This decline is likely attributable to the advances in PCI with increased operator experience and widespread adoption of coronary stents, use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa agents, upfront loading with clopidogrel, and the more recent introduction of percutaneous ventricular assist devices for high-risk coronary interventions, all occurring over the time span of this series.

Although emergency CABG is less common now, as cardiologists using catheterization are increasingly able to stent their way out of trouble, patients now meeting the criteria for emergency surgery may represent an even higher-risk subset in which initial salvage attempts have been unsuccessful and in whom hemodynamic instability has been prolonged. In this series, the unadjusted mortality for emergency surgery increased from 7.6% in the first cohort to 10.3% in the last one, and the OR for mortality increased from 3.5 in the first cohort to 12.7 and 7.1 in the subsequent cohorts. Whereas the markedly elevated ORs, particularly in the second cohort, were associated with a relatively small number of events even in this large group, and therefore with wide CIs, it is clear that the importance of emergency CABG in determining survival is increasing rather than decreasing over time. This trend of decreasing prevalence of emergency CABG but increasing mortality was also reported by Haan and colleagues in a study of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons database, in which they found that the proportion of isolated CABGs performed on an emergency basis after PCI decreased over the period from 1994 to 1999 from 2.9% to 0.8%, whereas operative mortality increased from 8.0% to 9.3% (P < .001).

Other Trends Occurring During the Time Frame of This Study

The 3 time periods evaluated in this 18-year study corresponded generally to periods of increasing use of balloon angioplasty, bare metal stents, and drug-eluting stents, respectively. These trends in use of PCI have influenced the rate of, and risk profile of, patients referred for CABG in many institutions. We therefore chose to divide this period of study into 3 equal eras of 6 years.

The prevalence of off-pump CABG (OPCAB) in our institution increased slightly but steadily over the last 2 eras of this study, representing 5.1% and 8.5% of CABG cases in the second (1997-2002) and the latest (2003-2008) eras, respectively. The overall risk profile of patients who underwent OPCAB was comparable with that of patients who underwent on-pump CABG, but the prevalence of peripheral vascular disease was markedly higher in the OPCAB group (35.8% vs 16.4% and 42.4% vs 20.3% in the second and the latest eras, respectively). Mortality in OPCAB patients compared favorably with that in the on-pump group (0.8% vs 1.4% and 0.9% vs 1.2% in the second and the latest time cohorts, respectively). Despite the higher prevalence of peripheral vascular disease among patients undergoing OPCAB, the prevalence of postoperative stroke was low, 0% (0/503) and 0.2% (1/455) in the second and the latest eras of this study, respectively.

There was a small but significant increase in the mean body mass index (BMI) of our patients over the span of this study (27.5 ± 4.8, 27.9 ± 5.1, and 28.3 ± 5.2 in the first, second, and third eras, respectively; P < .0001). Most patients (93%) had a BMI in the 18 to 35 range. About 6% of patients had a BMI greater than 35 and a few patients (1%) had a BMI less than 18. Extremely high BMI (≥35) was associated with higher morbidity, manifested mainly as a higher prevalence of postoperative superficial (4.1%) and deep (2.2%) sternal infections. As well, these patients tended to have a slightly higher mortality (2.1%), although this difference did not reach statistical significance. Patients with extremely low BMI (<18) had a significantly higher risk of mortality (5.2%, 4/77) and stroke (3.9%, 3/77) compared with other patients (P = .008).

Despite the prospective nature of the data collection, this study remains a retrospective review of a single center’s experience and therefore has all of the caveats of such a study. Although the experience of other cardiac surgical units over the past 2 decades may have varied to some degree, we believe that the trends observed at our institution are likely representative of those occurring in other tertiary and quaternary institutions. Two decades of coronary surgery...
were included in this study, during which both surgical and medical therapy for coronary disease changed. A long observation period has advantages as well, including many years of data available for analysis and the ability to evaluate the potential effects of gradual changes in referral patterns and surgical approaches. Because our data do not include information on all patients evaluated by the cardiologists, however, we cannot separate the effects of changes in referral patterns from cardiologists from changes in acceptance for surgery by surgeons. Finally, although our database accurately captures LV systolic dysfunction, it unfortunately does not permit analysis of diastolic dysfunction, which may explain the limited correlation between poor LVEF and the prevalence of congestive heart failure.

In summary, our study showed a decreasing prevalence of the 3 risk factors previously identified as most predictive of hospital mortality, including LV systolic dysfunction, reoperative CABG, and emergency surgery. Despite their declining prevalence, the ORs for mortality associated with all 3 predictors increased over the 18-year span of this series, as overall results continued to improve in the past decade, but the results in these 3 subgroups of patients remained constant. Despite previous advances in the care of patients with LV dysfunction and those who need reoperative or emergency CABG, these patients remain a challenge to cardiac surgeons and require novel strategies to improve outcomes further.
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FIGURE E1. Risk profile of patients undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) stratified by era of surgery. Patient age, hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus (DM), preoperative myocardial infarction (MI), congestive heart failure (CHF), peripheral vascular disease (PVD), left main disease (L-Main), and triple-vessel disease (TVD) increased significantly with time. Reoperative CABG (R-CABG), patients with poor left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF < 40%), and urgent/emergency operations (Urg+Emerg) decreased significantly with time. P values are included in the figure.

FIGURE E2. Observed operative mortality for all patients undergoing emergency (Emerg), coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), urgent CABG (Urgent), patients with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) less than 40%, reoperative (Redo) CABG), patients 75 years or older, female patients, patients with congestive heart failure (CHF), cardiogenic shock, and left main disease (LM). P values are included in the figure.

TABLE E1. Multivariable predictors of mortality in the entire cohort (n = 23,445)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-9.36 ± 0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>4,956</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0-1.1</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>13,856</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3-2.0</td>
<td>&lt;.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.24</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.3-3.2</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4-2.4</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>3,869</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4-2.2</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperative CABG</td>
<td>1,108</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.16</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2-4.0</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main disease</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1-1.8</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF&lt;20%</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.23</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.7-6.5</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%-40%</td>
<td>4,534</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.16</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5-2.7</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%-60%</td>
<td>9,584</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency of surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiurgent</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.23</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.4-3.5</td>
<td>.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent</td>
<td>2,537</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.7-3.0</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.32</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.4-8.4</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of operation 1991-1996</td>
<td>8,280</td>
<td>-0.80 ± 0.16</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6-3</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction. The area under the receiver–operator characteristic curve was 0.8. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit P value was .19. *Age is a continuous variable. | Odds ratios were calculated by comparing against LVEF ≥ 60%. | Odds ratios were calculated by comparing against the 2003-2008 time cohort. |